



DEME20003 Supporting People With Dementia

Term 1 - 2018

Profile information current as at 17/05/2024 03:48 pm

All details in this unit profile for DEME20003 have been officially approved by CQUniversity and represent a learning partnership between the University and you (our student). The information will not be changed unless absolutely necessary and any change will be clearly indicated by an approved correction included in the profile.

General Information

Overview

Empowerment and the rights of people with dementia are central themes of this unit. You will examine models of care and best practice guidelines, to determine their capacity to meet the needs of people with dementia. Ethical and legal issues encountered by people with dementia and those caring for them will be identified. You will design strategies to support those living alongside and/or caring for a person with dementia.

Details

Career Level: *Postgraduate*

Unit Level: *Level 8*

Credit Points: 6

Student Contribution Band: 10

Fraction of Full-Time Student Load: 0.125

Pre-requisites or Co-requisites

There are no requisites for this unit.

Important note: Students enrolled in a subsequent unit who failed their pre-requisite unit, should drop the subsequent unit before the census date or within 10 working days of Fail grade notification. Students who do not drop the unit in this timeframe cannot later drop the unit without academic and financial liability. See details in the [Assessment Policy and Procedure \(Higher Education Coursework\)](#).

Offerings For Term 1 - 2018

- Distance

Attendance Requirements

All on-campus students are expected to attend scheduled classes – in some units, these classes are identified as a mandatory (pass/fail) component and attendance is compulsory. International students, on a student visa, must maintain a full time study load and meet both attendance and academic progress requirements in each study period (satisfactory attendance for International students is defined as maintaining at least an 80% attendance record).

Website

[This unit has a website, within the Moodle system, which is available two weeks before the start of term. It is important that you visit your Moodle site throughout the term. Please visit Moodle for more information.](#)

Class and Assessment Overview

Recommended Student Time Commitment

Each 6-credit Postgraduate unit at CQUniversity requires an overall time commitment of an average of 12.5 hours of study per week, making a total of 150 hours for the unit.

Class Timetable

[Regional Campuses](#)

Bundaberg, Cairns, Emerald, Gladstone, Mackay, Rockhampton, Townsville

[Metropolitan Campuses](#)

Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney

Assessment Overview

1. **Written Assessment**

Weighting: 50%

2. **Written Assessment**

Weighting: 50%

Assessment Grading

This is a graded unit: your overall grade will be calculated from the marks or grades for each assessment task, based on the relative weightings shown in the table above. You must obtain an overall mark for the unit of at least 50%, or an overall grade of 'pass' in order to pass the unit. If any 'pass/fail' tasks are shown in the table above they must also be completed successfully ('pass' grade). You must also meet any minimum mark requirements specified for a particular assessment task, as detailed in the 'assessment task' section (note that in some instances, the minimum mark for a task may be greater than 50%). Consult the [University's Grades and Results Policy](#) for more details of interim results and final grades.

CQUniversity Policies

All University policies are available on the [CQUniversity Policy site](#).

You may wish to view these policies:

- Grades and Results Policy
- Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)
- Review of Grade Procedure
- Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure – Domestic Students
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure – International Students
- Student Refund and Credit Balance Policy and Procedure
- Student Feedback – Compliments and Complaints Policy and Procedure
- Information and Communications Technology Acceptable Use Policy and Procedure

This list is not an exhaustive list of all University policies. The full list of University policies are available on the [CQUniversity Policy site](#).

Unit Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

1. Evaluate models of care for people with dementia
2. Analyse the ethical and legal consequences of living with dementia
3. Determine best practice guidelines and recommendations to support people with dementia and justify their appropriateness for practice to support a quality of life for those experiencing dementia symptoms
4. Develop recommendations to support people caring for a person with dementia.

Alignment of Learning Outcomes, Assessment and Graduate Attributes

 N/A Level	 Introductory Level	 Intermediate Level	 Graduate Level	 Professional Level	 Advanced Level
---	--	--	--	--	--

Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Learning Outcomes

Assessment Tasks	Learning Outcomes			
	1	2	3	4
1 - Written Assessment - 50%	•			
2 - Written Assessment - 50%		•	•	•

Alignment of Graduate Attributes to Learning Outcomes

Graduate Attributes	Learning Outcomes			
	1	2	3	4
1 - Knowledge	○	○	○	○
2 - Communication	○	○	○	○
3 - Cognitive, technical and creative skills	○	○	○	○
4 - Research	○	○	○	○
5 - Self-management	○	○	○	○
6 - Ethical and Professional Responsibility	○	○	○	○
7 - Leadership		○	○	○
8 - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultures				

Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Graduate Attributes

Assessment Tasks	Graduate Attributes							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 - Written Assessment - 50%	○	○		○	○	○		
2 - Written Assessment - 50%	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	

Textbooks and Resources

Textbooks

There are no required textbooks.

IT Resources

You will need access to the following IT resources:

- CQUniversity Student Email
- Internet
- Unit Website (Moodle)

Referencing Style

All submissions for this unit must use the referencing style: [American Psychological Association 6th Edition \(APA 6th edition\)](#)

For further information, see the Assessment Tasks.

Teaching Contacts

Anne-Marie Holt Unit Coordinator
a.holt2@cqu.edu.au

Schedule

Week 1 - 05 Mar 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Welcome to DEME 20003! Module 1 - Models of Care		Refer to the Moodle site. Read the introductory information. Begin Module 1 activities. Review Assessment requirements.

Week 2 - 12 Mar 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 1- Models of Care		Refer to the Moodle site. Continue with Module 1 activities. Begin preparing for Assessment 1.

Week 3 - 19 Mar 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 2 - Ethical and legal considerations		Refer to the Moodle site. Begin Module 2 activities. Continue working on Assessment 1.

Week 4 - 26 Mar 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 2 - Ethical and legal considerations		Refer to the Moodle site. Continue with Module 2 activities. Finalise Assessment 1.

Week 5 - 02 Apr 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
--------------	---------	------------------------------

Module 2 - Ethical and legal considerations

Refer to the Moodle site. Continue with Module 2 activities.

Week 5 - Assessment 1 due!

Model of Care for Dementia Patients (Written Assessment)

Due: Week 5 Friday (6 Apr 2018) 5:00 pm AEST

Vacation Week - 09 Apr 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Take a well earned break!		Take a well earned break!

Week 6 - 16 Apr 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 3 - Best practice models and guidelines		Refer to the Moodle site. Begin working on Module 3 activities.

Week 7 - 23 Apr 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 3 - Best practice models and guidelines		Refer to the Moodle site. Continue working on Module 3 activities. Begin preparation for Assessment 2.

Week 8 - 30 Apr 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 3 - Best practice models and guidelines		Refer to the Moodle site. Continue with Module 3 activities. Continue working on Assessment 2.

Week 9 - 07 May 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 4 - Recommendations		Refer to the Moodle site. Begin Module 4 activities. Continue working on Assessment 2.

Week 10 - 14 May 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 4 - Recommendations		Refer to the Moodle site. Begin Module 4 activities. Finalise Assessment 2.

Week 11 - 21 May 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Module 4 - Recommendations		Refer to the Moodle site. Continue with Module 4 activities. Week 11 - Assessment 2 due! Misconceptions about Dementia and its Implications on Practice (Written Assessment) Due: Week 11 Friday (25 May 2018) 5:00 pm AEST

Week 12 - 28 May 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Review and consolidation		Refer to the Moodle site. Be sure to contact your Lecturer with any questions or queries.

Review/Exam Week - 04 Jun 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
--------------	---------	------------------------------

Assessment Tasks

1 Model of Care for Dementia Patients (Written Assessment)

Assessment Type

Written Assessment

Task Description

Task Description

This task is designed to provide you with an opportunity to prepare a report about your chosen model of care for people with dementia. It draws on your knowledge and understanding of frameworks/models designed to meet the needs of the person with dementia and ethical and legal considerations in providing this care.

You have been invited by the board of management of a community service to prepare a report on the services they provide to people with dementia. They have asked you to nominate one client of your choice to illustrate the ethical and legal implications of providing care for people with dementia. You will need to create an example of a person with a dementia and provide the reader with some details about this (fictitious) community service, to demonstrate your mastery of course concepts.

This task requires you to:

Step 1.

- Identify a fictitious or identity protected service in the community who provides services to people with dementia including an example of a person who may be a client of this service.
- Identify a model of care for this person with dementia and justify why you have selected this particular model as the most appropriate model for this community service

Step 2.

Using your client as an example:

- Discuss the ethical and legal considerations when providing services to a person who has been diagnosed with dementia
- Identify the complexities of ensuring the person with dementia is protected both ethically and legally whilst receiving services
- Analyse how effectively the chosen model of care assists in ensuring ethical and legal responsibilities are met. Provide examples to justify your argument.

Step 3.

- Critically discuss potential/actual facilitators and barriers to effective implementation of the model chosen to ensure people with dementia are treated ethically and legally.
- Draw conclusions about the implications of issues for policy and/or practice development within the community service to ensure the ethical and legal needs of the person with dementia are met.

Assessment Due Date

Week 5 Friday (6 Apr 2018) 5:00 pm AEST

Online submission via Turnitin and Moodle

Return Date to Students

Week 7 Friday (27 Apr 2018)

via Moodle

Weighting

50%

Assessment Criteria

High Distinction 85-100%	Distinction 75-84%	Credit 65-74%	Pass 50-64%	Fail Below 50%
Structure 20% <i>Introduction 5%</i> Highly appropriate and relevant to content. Provides very clear sense of what follows. <i>Conclusion 5%</i> Provides very strong sense of closure and highly appropriate. <i>Presentation 5%</i>	Appropriate and relevant to content. Provides clear sense of what follows. Provides sound sense of closure and appropriate.	Largely appropriate and relevant to content. Provides sense of what follows. Provides a sense of closure and largely appropriate.	Somewhat appropriate and relevant to content. Provides some sense of what follows. Somewhat provides a sense of closure and somewhat appropriate.	Not evident and/or not appropriate. No recognisable conclusion or conclusion is inappropriate.

Very well presented. No spelling or grammatical errors. Well proof read. Written in a clear and cohesive style. <i>Referencing 5%</i>	Very well presented. Minimal spelling and grammatical errors. Well proof read. Written in a clear and easy to ready style.	Well presented. Some spelling and grammatical errors. Written in an easy to ready style.	There may be one or more areas where there was evidence of lack attention to presentation.	Many presentation errors.
Consistently integrates up-to-date references to support and reflect all ideas, factual information and quotations. Accurate APA referencing. No errors.	Generally, integrates up-to-date references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 1 or 2 exceptions. Accurate APA referencing.	Partly integrates up-to-date references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 3 or 4 exceptions. Accurate APA referencing.	Occasionally integrates up-to-date references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 5 or 6 exceptions. Accurate APA referencing.	Fails to or infrequent attempts (>7 errors) to integrate up-to-date references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations.
Identification and analysis of appropriate model of care 25% High level of justification reflected in a clear cohesive argument. Application of model of care to ethical and legal considerations 30% Appropriate application and used well to enhance discussion. Solution generation 25% Recommendations thoroughly and realistically improve applicability to achieving positive outcomes.	High level of justification reflected in a largely clear cohesive argument. Appropriate application and used well to enhance discussion. Recommendations realistically improve applicability to achieving positive outcomes.	Some justification reflected in a somewhat clear and cohesive argument. Appropriate application and used well to enhance discussion. Recommendations improve applicability to achieving positive outcomes.	Some justification apparent. Argument may be a little disjointed. Appropriate application and somewhat used to enhance discussion. Recommendations somewhat improve applicability to achieving positive outcomes.	Minimal justification of model or no model identified. No application and/or not used well to enhance discussion. Recommendations do not improve applicability.

Referencing Style

- [American Psychological Association 6th Edition \(APA 6th edition\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

via Turnitin and Moodle

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Evaluate models of care for people with dementia

Graduate Attributes

- Knowledge
- Communication
- Research
- Self-management
- Ethical and Professional Responsibility

2 Misconceptions about Dementia and its Implications on Practice (Written Assessment)

Assessment Type

Written Assessment

Task Description

Task Description:

This task is designed to provide you with an opportunity to prepare a report about current best practice guidelines and recommendations and their appropriateness for supporting the needs for a person with dementia and their support people.

This task requires you to:

Step 1.

- Identify current best practice guidelines and recommendations for supporting a person with dementia and the people living alongside them who provide support.

Step 2.

- Identify the complexities of ensuring a person supporting a person with dementia received appropriate support.
- Critically analyse how effectively and to what extent the current guidelines support the person with dementia and their support person.

Step 3.

- Critically discuss potential/actual facilitators and barriers to effective implementation of guidelines identified.
- Provide recommendations for supporting people with dementia and the people caring for them based on your findings.

Assessment Due Date

Week 11 Friday (25 May 2018) 5:00 pm AEST

Online Submission via Turnitin and Moodle

Return Date to Students

Review/Exam Week Friday (8 June 2018)

via Moodle

Weighting

50%

Assessment Criteria

High Distinction 85-100%	Distinction 75-84%	Credit 65-74%	Pass 50-64%	Fail Below 50%
Structure 20%				
<i>Introduction 5%</i>				
Highly appropriate and relevant to content. Provides very clear sense of what follows.	Appropriate and relevant to content. Provides clear sense of what follows.	Largely appropriate and relevant to content. Provides sense of what follows.	Somewhat appropriate and relevant to content. Provides some sense of what follows.	Not evident and/or not appropriate.
<i>Conclusion 5%</i>				
Provides very strong sense of closure and highly appropriate.	Provides sound sense of closure and appropriate.	Provides a sense of closure and largely appropriate.	Somewhat provides a sense of closure and somewhat appropriate.	No recognisable conclusion or conclusion is inappropriate.
<i>Presentation 5%</i>				
Very well presented. No spelling or grammatical errors. Well proof read. Written in a clear and cohesive style.	Very well presented. Minimal spelling and grammatical errors. Well proof read. Written in a clear and easy to ready style.	Well presented. Some spelling and grammatical errors. Written in an easy to ready style.	There may be one or more areas where there was evidence of lack attention to presentation.	Many presentation errors.
<i>Referencing 5%</i>				
Consistently integrates up-to-date references to support and reflect all ideas, factual information and quotations.	Generally, integrates up-to-date references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 1 or 2 exceptions.	Partly integrates up-to-date references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 3 or 4 exceptions.	Occasionally integrates up-to-date references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 5 or 6 exceptions.	Fails to or infrequent attempts (>7 errors) to integrate up-to-date references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations.
Accurate APA referencing. No errors.	Accurate APA referencing. One error.	Accurate APA referencing. Two errors.	Accurate APA referencing. Three errors.	More than three errors.
Critical analysis of current best practice guidelines and recommendations 35%				
High level of analysis reflected in a clear cohesive argument.	High level of analysis reflected in a largely clear cohesive argument.	Some analysis reflected in a somewhat clear and cohesive argument.	Some analysis apparent. Argument may be a little disjointed.	Minimal analysis or lack of analysis.
Understanding of Complexities 30%				
High levels of understanding of the complexities of dementia	Sound levels of understanding of the complexities of dementia	Some understanding of the complexities of dementia	Basic level of understanding of the complexities of dementia	Minimal to no understanding of the complexities of dementia
Solution generation 15%				
Recommendations thoroughly and realistically improve applicability of guidelines for positive outcomes	Recommendations realistically improve applicability of guidelines for positive outcomes	Recommendations improve applicability of guidelines for positive outcomes	Recommendations somewhat improve applicability of guidelines for positive outcomes.	Recommendations do not improve applicability or are non-existent

Referencing Style

- [American Psychological Association 6th Edition \(APA 6th edition\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

via Turnitin and Moodle

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Analyse the ethical and legal consequences of living with dementia
- Determine best practice guidelines and recommendations to support people with dementia and justify their appropriateness for practice to support a quality of life for those experiencing dementia symptoms
- Develop recommendations to support people caring for a person with dementia.

Graduate Attributes

- Knowledge
- Communication
- Cognitive, technical and creative skills
- Research
- Self-management
- Ethical and Professional Responsibility
- Leadership

Academic Integrity Statement

As a CQUniversity student you are expected to act honestly in all aspects of your academic work.

Any assessable work undertaken or submitted for review or assessment must be your own work. Assessable work is any type of work you do to meet the assessment requirements in the unit, including draft work submitted for review and feedback and final work to be assessed.

When you use the ideas, words or data of others in your assessment, you must thoroughly and clearly acknowledge the source of this information by using the correct referencing style for your unit. Using others' work without proper acknowledgement may be considered a form of intellectual dishonesty.

Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in your university study ensures the CQUniversity qualification you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

As a student, you are responsible for reading and following CQUniversity's policies, including the [Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure](#). This policy sets out CQUniversity's expectations of you to act with integrity, examples of academic integrity breaches to avoid, the processes used to address alleged breaches of academic integrity, and potential penalties.

What is a breach of academic integrity?

A breach of academic integrity includes but is not limited to plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion, cheating, contract cheating, and academic misconduct. The Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure defines what these terms mean and gives examples.

Why is academic integrity important?

A breach of academic integrity may result in one or more penalties, including suspension or even expulsion from the University. It can also have negative implications for student visas and future enrolment at CQUniversity or elsewhere. Students who engage in contract cheating also risk being blackmailed by contract cheating services.

Where can I get assistance?

For academic advice and guidance, the [Academic Learning Centre \(ALC\)](#) can support you in becoming confident in completing assessments with integrity and of high standard.

What can you do to act with integrity?



Be Honest

If your assessment task is done by someone else, it would be dishonest of you to claim it as your own



Seek Help

If you are not sure about how to cite or reference in essays, reports etc, then seek help from your lecturer, the library or the Academic Learning Centre (ALC)



Produce Original Work

Originality comes from your ability to read widely, think critically, and apply your gained knowledge to address a question or problem