

LAWS11062 Contract B Term 3 - 2017

Profile information current as at 19/05/2024 10:44 am

All details in this unit profile for LAWS11062 have been officially approved by CQUniversity and represent a learning partnership between the University and you (our student). The information will not be changed unless absolutely necessary and any change will be clearly indicated by an approved correction included in the profile.

General Information

Overview

This unit builds on and develops knowledge acquired in LAWS11061 Contract A. Topics include: contracts with a vitiating element such as a mistake; misrepresentation; duress; undue influence; unconscionable contracts and illegal and void contracts. The unit also covers the ways in which a contract may be terminated and the remedies available for non-performance of a contract.

Details

Career Level: Undergraduate Unit Level: Level 1 Credit Points: 6 Student Contribution Band: 10 Fraction of Full-Time Student Load: 0.125

Pre-requisites or Co-requisites

Prerequisite: LAWS11061 Contract A

Important note: Students enrolled in a subsequent unit who failed their pre-requisite unit, should drop the subsequent unit before the census date or within 10 working days of Fail grade notification. Students who do not drop the unit in this timeframe cannot later drop the unit without academic and financial liability. See details in the <u>Assessment Policy and</u> <u>Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)</u>.

Offerings For Term 3 - 2017

• Distance

Attendance Requirements

All on-campus students are expected to attend scheduled classes – in some units, these classes are identified as a mandatory (pass/fail) component and attendance is compulsory. International students, on a student visa, must maintain a full time study load and meet both attendance and academic progress requirements in each study period (satisfactory attendance for International students is defined as maintaining at least an 80% attendance record).

Website

This unit has a website, within the Moodle system, which is available two weeks before the start of term. It is important that you visit your Moodle site throughout the term. Please visit Moodle for more information.

Class and Assessment Overview

Recommended Student Time Commitment

Each 6-credit Undergraduate unit at CQUniversity requires an overall time commitment of an average of 12.5 hours of study per week, making a total of 150 hours for the unit.

Class Timetable

Regional Campuses Bundaberg, Cairns, Emerald, Gladstone, Mackay, Rockhampton, Townsville

Metropolitan Campuses Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney

Assessment Overview

Written Assessment
Weighting: 20%
Presentation
Weighting: 30%
Written Assessment
Weighting: 50%

Assessment Grading

This is a graded unit: your overall grade will be calculated from the marks or grades for each assessment task, based on the relative weightings shown in the table above. You must obtain an overall mark for the unit of at least 50%, or an overall grade of 'pass' in order to pass the unit. If any 'pass/fail' tasks are shown in the table above they must also be completed successfully ('pass' grade). You must also meet any minimum mark requirements specified for a particular assessment task, as detailed in the 'assessment task' section (note that in some instances, the minimum mark for a task may be greater than 50%). Consult the <u>University's Grades and Results Policy</u> for more details of interim results and final grades.

CQUniversity Policies

All University policies are available on the <u>CQUniversity Policy site</u>.

You may wish to view these policies:

- Grades and Results Policy
- Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)
- Review of Grade Procedure
- Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure Domestic Students
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure International Students
- Student Refund and Credit Balance Policy and Procedure
- Student Feedback Compliments and Complaints Policy and Procedure
- Information and Communications Technology Acceptable Use Policy and Procedure

This list is not an exhaustive list of all University policies. The full list of University policies are available on the <u>CQUniversity Policy site</u>.

Previous Student Feedback

Feedback, Recommendations and Responses

Every unit is reviewed for enhancement each year. At the most recent review, the following staff and student feedback items were identified and recommendations were made.

Feedback from survey

Feedback

Students asked for more unit-related content to be cross-posted to the Facebook Closed Group for participation and discussion by students.

Recommendation

Recommend continue to cross-post more unit-related content to the Facebook Closed Group for greater participation via social media.

Unit Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

- 1. Comprehend the legal concepts and consequences of vitiating factors in contract law
- 2. Identify and apply corresponding statutory, common law and equitable remedies in resolving contractual disputes
- 3. Research and apply legal principles from statute and case law to the analysis of contractual disputes
- 4. Compare the operation of Australian contract law with overseas contract law, particularly in relation to online commerce
- 5. Demonstrate skills in communication, critical legal thinking, and reasoning.

Alignment of Learning Outcomes, Assessment and Graduate Attributes

| N/A Introductory Intermediate Graduate | Professional Advanced Level Level |
|--|--------------------------------------|
|--|--------------------------------------|

Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Learning Outcomes

| Assessment Tasks | Learning Outcomes | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 - Written Assessment - 20% | • | • | • | • | • |
| 2 - Presentation - 30% | | • | • | | • |
| 3 - Written Assessment - 50% | • | • | ٠ | • | • |

Alignment of Graduate Attributes to Learning Outcomes

| Graduate Attributes | Learning Outcomes | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 - Communication | • | • | • | • | • |
| 2 - Problem Solving | • | • | • | • | • |

| Graduate Attributes | Learning Outcomes | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 - Critical Thinking | • | • | • | • | • |
| 4 - Information Literacy | • | • | • | • | • |
| 5 - Team Work | | | | | |
| 6 - Information Technology Competence | | | • | • | • |
| 7 - Cross Cultural Competence | | | | | |
| 8 - Ethical practice | | | | | • |
| 9 - Social Innovation | | | | | |
| 10 - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultures | | | | | |

Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Graduate Attributes

| Assessment Tasks | Graduate Attributes | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 - Written Assessment - 20% | • | • | • | • | | • | | • | | |
| 2 - Presentation - 30% | • | • | • | • | | • | | • | | |
| 3 - Written Assessment - 50% | • | • | • | • | | • | | • | | |

Textbooks and Resources

Textbooks

LAWS11062

Prescribed

Contract Law

Edition: 4th (2013) Authors: Willmott, Christensen, Butler, Dixon OUP South Melbourne , Victoria , Australia ISBN: 9780195578461 Binding: Hardcover LAWS11062

Prescribed

Contract Law Case Book

Edition: 4th (2013) Authors: Butler, Christensen, Dixon, Willmott OUP South Melbourne , Victoria , Australia ISBN: 9780195578478 Binding: Hardcover

Additional Textbook Information

Both of the above books come packaged together at a reduced cost to students. See the CQUni Bookshop for details: http://bookshop.cqu.edu.au/texts.asp

View textbooks at the CQUniversity Bookshop

IT Resources

You will need access to the following IT resources:

- CQUniversity Student Email
- Internet
- Unit Website (Moodle)

Referencing Style

All submissions for this unit must use the referencing style: <u>Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 3rd ed</u> For further information, see the Assessment Tasks.

Teaching Contacts

Brett Eurell Unit Coordinator b.eurell@cgu.edu.au

Schedule

| Week 1 - 06 Nov 2017 | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Mistake | Prescribed text book Chapter 14 | |
| Week 2 - 13 Nov 2017 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |

| Misrepresentation and misleading and deceptive conduct | Prescribed text book Chapter 13 | |
|---|--|---|
| Week 3 - 20 Nov 2017 | | |
| Module/Topic Estoppel | Chapter Prescribed text book | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Estopper | Chapter 7 | |
| Week 4 - 27 Nov 2017 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Equitable concepts: unconscionability, duress and undue influence | Prescribed text book Chapters 15 to 17 | |
| Vacation Week - 04 Dec 2017 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Week 5 - 11 Dec 2017 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Illegality | Prescribed text book | Problem Assignment Due: Week 5 Friday (15 Dec 2017) 5:00 pm AEST |
| | Chapter 18 | Problem assignment Due: Week 5 Friday (15 Dec 2017) 5:00 pm AEST |
| Week 6 - 18 Dec 2017 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Termination by performance or agreement | Prescribed text book Chapters 19 and 21 | |
| Week 7 - 01 Jan 2018 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Frustration | Prescribed text book Chapter 22 | |
| Week 8 - 08 Jan 2018 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Termination by breach | Prescribed text book Chapter 20 | |
| Week 9 - 15 Jan 2018 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Remedies in common law | Prescribed text book | Court presentation Due: Week 9 Friday (19 Jan 2018) 5:00 pm AEST |
| and equity | Chapters 23 to 25 | Court (video) presentation Due: Week 9 Friday (19 Jan 2018) 5:00 pm AEST |
| Week 10 - 22 Jan 2018 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Remedies under the Australian Consumer Law | Read the relevant provisions of the Australian Consumer Law | |
| Week 11 - 29 Jan 2018 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |

| International aspects of contract law | Prescribed text book Chapter 28 | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Week 12 - 05 Feb 2018 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| Revision and Q&A session | | |
| Review/Exam Week - 12 Feb 2018 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| | | Take home paper Due: Exam Week Monday (12 Feb 2018) 11:45 pm AEST |
| Exam Week - 12 Feb 2018 | | |
| Module/Topic | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic |
| | | Take Home Paper Due: Exam Week (12 to 16 Feb 2018) 11:45 pm AEST |
| | | Take home paper Due: Exam Week Monday (12 Feb 2018) 11:45 pm AEST |

Assessment Tasks

1 Problem assignment

Assessment Type

Written Assessment

Task Description

This assessment is designed to reinforce student learning in relation to the early-unit material covering the various means of vitiation of contracts. The assessment also requires students to undertake basic research tasks, including the use of textbooks and other sources of information, to understand the basis for the vitiation of contracts. Students will be provided with two problem questions each based upon one of the types of vitiation.

The specific questions will be provided to students during Week 1 of the semester. The assessment will be 2000 words maximum in length (a maximum of 1000 words per problem question).

*Please note all submissions must adhere to the citation method used in the Australian Guide to Legal

Citation, 3rd ed referencing style. See further the AGLC website here.

Assessment Due Date

Week 5 Friday (15 Dec 2017) 5:00 pm AEST Submissions will be uploaded via Moodle

Return Date to Students

Week 7 Wednesday (3 Jan 2018) Marks will be returned via Moodle

Weighting 20%

Assessment Criteria

The following graduate attributes are assessed in the assignment (worth 20%):

- 1. Communication
- 2. Information Literacy
- 3. Information Technology Competence
- 4. Research
- 5. Critical Thinking
- 6. Ethical practice

Assessment Criteria/Rubric

Criteria Basic goals (10%): Excellent

Good

Poor

| Identification of primary issues | Identification of all major issues. | Identification of most major issues. | Identification of some major issues. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Demonstrated understanding of the subject matter | Excellent demonstrated understanding of the subject matter. | Good understanding of the subject matter. | Lacks understanding of the subject matter. |
| Structured argument | Superbly structured arguments. | Well-structured argument. | Poorly structured argument. |
| Higher order goal (5%): | | | |
| Analytical ability | Demonstrated high level of analysis. | Some analytical errors or omissions. | Numerous analytical errors or omissions. |
| Skills (5%): | | | |
| Demonstrated clear and concise written expression | Clear and concise writter expression. | Some unclear or verbose written expression. | Numerous examples of unclear or verbose written expression. |
| Spelling and grammar | No identifiable spelling of grammatical issues. | rMinor spelling or grammatical issues. | Numerous spelling or grammatical issues. |
| Plain English drafting | No Plain English drafting errors. | Some Plain English drafting errors. | Numerous Plain English drafting errors. |

Referencing Style

• Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 3rd ed

Submission

Online

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Comprehend the legal concepts and consequences of vitiating factors in contract law
- Identify and apply corresponding statutory, common law and equitable remedies in resolving contractual disputes
- Research and apply legal principles from statute and case law to the analysis of contractual disputes
- Compare the operation of Australian contract law with overseas contract law, particularly in relation to online commerce
- Demonstrate skills in communication, critical legal thinking, and reasoning.

Graduate Attributes

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Information Technology Competence
- Ethical practice

2 Court (video) presentation

Assessment Type

Presentation

Task Description

The video presentation assesses all Unit Objectives and the University Graduate Attributes of communication, problem solving, critical thinking, information literacy, IT competence and ethical practice. Students will be provided with a short example video on how to deliver oral submissions to a generic court in relation to a contract matter.

The student will be required to analyse these oral submissions and to develop a response of 4-6 minutes' length. The student must then create a video recording of themselves delivering their response. While a courtroom setting will not be required, students should make an effort to present themselves professionally for the video and to deliver their submissions clearly and effectively. The submissions will cover unit material from all of Contract A and Contract B. Issues of formation, interpretation, vitiation and discharge/remedy may all be covered. The video submission will be uploaded to Moodle in week 9.

Assessment Due Date

Week 9 Friday (19 Jan 2018) 5:00 pm AEST Submissions will be uploaded to YouTube as unlisted videos - detailed instructions will be provided

Return Date to Students

Week 12 Monday (5 Feb 2018) Comments will be provided via Moodle

Weighting 30%

Assessment Criteria

Assessment Criteria for the Assessment/Rubric

| Criteria Basic goals: | Excellent | Good | Poor |
|--|---|---|--|
| Identification of primary issues | Identification of all major issues. | ldentification of most major issues. | Identification of some major issues. |
| Demonstrated understanding of the subject matter | Excellent demonstrated understanding of the subject matter. | Good understanding of the subject matter. | Lacks understanding of the subject matter. |
| Structured argument | Superbly structured arguments. | Well-structured argument. | Poorly structured argument. |
| Higher order goal: | | | |
| Analytical ability | Demonstrated high level of analysis. | Some analytical errors or omissions. | Numerous analytical errors or omissions. |
| Skills: | | | |
| Demonstrated clear and concise oral presentation | Clear and concise oral expression. | Some unclear or verbose oral expression. | Numerous examples of unclear or verbose oral expression. |
| Pace, diction and persuasiveness | Pace, diction and persuasiveness at a high level. | Generally effective delibery with some lapses in pace, diction or persuasiveness | Poor, unpersuasive, difficult-to-follow presentation |
| General presentation | Presentation at a professional level | Some effort made at professional presentation | Poor professional presentation |

Referencing Style

• Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 3rd ed

Submission

Online

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Identify and apply corresponding statutory, common law and equitable remedies in resolving contractual disputes
- Research and apply legal principles from statute and case law to the analysis of contractual disputes
- Demonstrate skills in communication, critical legal thinking, and reasoning.

Graduate Attributes

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Information Technology Competence
- Ethical practice

3 Take home paper

Assessment Type

Written Assessment

Task Description

The take home examination for this subject will be comprised of three parts. Part A will be a series of ten short answer questions on any topic covered during the Term. Part B will be a problem question which may cover any issues of Contract Law. Part C will be a short theory question on a topic covered in Contract B. The paper will be released on the Monday of exam week and must be returned within 48 hours. In the absence of an approved extension, there will be no opportunity to complete the task after this date and no late penalty will be applicable. You will receive a mark of zero for this assessment task.

Assessment Due Date

Exam Week Monday (12 Feb 2018) 11:45 pm AEST

DO NOT TAKE ANY NOTICE OF THE SPECIFIC DATE HERE. The actual dates for the take home paper will be set once the examination timetable is released, in order to avoid clashes with other subjects.

Return Date to Students

General feedback will be provided as quickly as practicable after completion of marking

Weighting

50%

Assessment Criteria

Assessment Criteria for the Take Home Paper/Rubric

| Criteria Basic goals: | Excellent | Good | Poor |
|---|---|--|---|
| Identification of primary issues | Identification of all major issues. | Identification of most major issues. | Identification of some major issues. |
| Demonstrated understanding of the subject matter | Excellent demonstrated understanding of the subject matter. | Good understanding of the subject matter. | Lacks understanding of the subject matter. |
| Structured argument | Superbly structured arguments. | Well-structured argument. | Poorly structured argument. |
| Higher order goal: | - | - | - |
| Analytical ability | Demonstrated high level of analysis. | Some analytical errors or omissions. | Numerous analytical errors or omissions. |
| Skills: | | | |
| Demonstrated clear and concise written presentation | Clear and concise written expression. | Some unclear or verbose written expression. Generally effective use | Numerous examples of unclear or verbose Written expression. |
| Attention to legal forms and citation | Impeccable use of legal form and legal citation | of legal form and citations, with some lapses | Poor use of legal form and citations |
| Use of Plain English | Excellent use of plain English | Reasonable use of plair English | Poor effort at the use of plain English |

Referencing Style

• Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 3rd ed

Submission

Online

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Comprehend the legal concepts and consequences of vitiating factors in contract law
- Identify and apply corresponding statutory, common law and equitable remedies in resolving contractual disputes
- Research and apply legal principles from statute and case law to the analysis of contractual disputes
- Compare the operation of Australian contract law with overseas contract law, particularly in relation to online commerce
- Demonstrate skills in communication, critical legal thinking, and reasoning.

Graduate Attributes

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Information Technology Competence

Academic Integrity Statement

As a CQUniversity student you are expected to act honestly in all aspects of your academic work.

Any assessable work undertaken or submitted for review or assessment must be your own work. Assessable work is any type of work you do to meet the assessment requirements in the unit, including draft work submitted for review and feedback and final work to be assessed.

When you use the ideas, words or data of others in your assessment, you must thoroughly and clearly acknowledge the source of this information by using the correct referencing style for your unit. Using others' work without proper acknowledgement may be considered a form of intellectual dishonesty.

Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in your university study ensures the CQUniversity qualification you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

As a student, you are responsible for reading and following CQUniversity's policies, including the <u>Student Academic</u> <u>Integrity Policy and Procedure</u>. This policy sets out CQUniversity's expectations of you to act with integrity, examples of academic integrity breaches to avoid, the processes used to address alleged breaches of academic integrity, and potential penalties.

What is a breach of academic integrity?

A breach of academic integrity includes but is not limited to plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion, cheating, contract cheating, and academic misconduct. The Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure defines what these terms mean and gives examples.

Why is academic integrity important?

A breach of academic integrity may result in one or more penalties, including suspension or even expulsion from the University. It can also have negative implications for student visas and future enrolment at CQUniversity or elsewhere. Students who engage in contract cheating also risk being blackmailed by contract cheating services.

Where can I get assistance?

For academic advice and guidance, the <u>Academic Learning Centre (ALC)</u> can support you in becoming confident in completing assessments with integrity and of high standard.

What can you do to act with integrity?



If your assessment task is done by someone else, it would be dishonest of you to claim it as your own



Seek Help If you are not sure about how to cite or reference in essays, reports etc, then seek help from your lecturer, the library or the Academic Learning Centre (ALC)



Produce Original Work Originality comes from your ability to read widely, think critically, and apply your gained knowledge to address a question or problem