

# LAWS12064 Legal Advocacy Term 2 - 2019

#### Profile information current as at 20/05/2024 11:02 am

All details in this unit profile for LAWS12064 have been officially approved by CQUniversity and represent a learning partnership between the University and you (our student). The information will not be changed unless absolutely necessary and any change will be clearly indicated by an approved correction included in the profile.

# **General Information**

## Overview

Legal Advocacy concerns the preparation for and oral presentation of persuasive legal arguments. The unit includes how to prepare for, structure and present oral arguments - examining topics such as interviewing, negotiation, and fundamentals of trial technique. It is essential that lawyers know how to present their arguments in a coherent and persuasive manner. Students will prepare numerous oral presentations culminating in a moot.

## Details

Career Level: Undergraduate Unit Level: Level 2 Credit Points: 6 Student Contribution Band: 10 Fraction of Full-Time Student Load: 0.125

## Pre-requisites or Co-requisites

Prerequisite:- LAWS13010 & corequisite LAWS13017

Important note: Students enrolled in a subsequent unit who failed their pre-requisite unit, should drop the subsequent unit before the census date or within 10 working days of Fail grade notification. Students who do not drop the unit in this timeframe cannot later drop the unit without academic and financial liability. See details in the <u>Assessment Policy and</u> <u>Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)</u>.

## Offerings For Term 2 - 2019

• Online

### Attendance Requirements

All on-campus students are expected to attend scheduled classes – in some units, these classes are identified as a mandatory (pass/fail) component and attendance is compulsory. International students, on a student visa, must maintain a full time study load and meet both attendance and academic progress requirements in each study period (satisfactory attendance for International students is defined as maintaining at least an 80% attendance record).

### Website

This unit has a website, within the Moodle system, which is available two weeks before the start of term. It is important that you visit your Moodle site throughout the term. Please visit Moodle for more information.

# **Class and Assessment Overview**

### **Recommended Student Time Commitment**

Each 6-credit Undergraduate unit at CQUniversity requires an overall time commitment of an average of 12.5 hours of study per week, making a total of 150 hours for the unit.

## **Class Timetable**

Regional Campuses

Bundaberg, Cairns, Emerald, Gladstone, Mackay, Rockhampton, Townsville

Metropolitan Campuses Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney

### Assessment Overview

 Practical and Written Assessment Weighting: 10%
Presentation and Written Assessment Weighting: 20%
Presentation and Written Assessment Weighting: 30%
Presentation and Written Assessment

Weighting: 40%

### Assessment Grading

This is a graded unit: your overall grade will be calculated from the marks or grades for each assessment task, based on the relative weightings shown in the table above. You must obtain an overall mark for the unit of at least 50%, or an overall grade of 'pass' in order to pass the unit. If any 'pass/fail' tasks are shown in the table above they must also be completed successfully ('pass' grade). You must also meet any minimum mark requirements specified for a particular assessment task, as detailed in the 'assessment task' section (note that in some instances, the minimum mark for a task may be greater than 50%). Consult the <u>University's Grades and Results Policy</u> for more details of interim results and final grades.

# **CQUniversity Policies**

#### All University policies are available on the <u>CQUniversity Policy site</u>.

You may wish to view these policies:

- Grades and Results Policy
- Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)
- Review of Grade Procedure
- Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure Domestic Students
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure International Students
- Student Refund and Credit Balance Policy and Procedure
- Student Feedback Compliments and Complaints Policy and Procedure
- Information and Communications Technology Acceptable Use Policy and Procedure

This list is not an exhaustive list of all University policies. The full list of University policies are available on the <u>CQUniversity Policy site</u>.

# Previous Student Feedback

### Feedback, Recommendations and Responses

Every unit is reviewed for enhancement each year. At the most recent review, the following staff and student feedback items were identified and recommendations were made.

### Feedback from Have Your Say

#### Feedback

Chris is incredibly knowledgeable and the course is well structured. I especially liked the structure and progression of the assessment tasks.

#### Recommendation

The progression of tasks should be maintained.

### Feedback from Have Your Say

#### Feedback

I enjoyed that the groups were broken down into small groups of 4. This enabled me to focus more on the task at hand and not be overwhelmed due to a large audience.

#### Recommendation

The group approach should be maintained.

### Feedback from Have Your Say

#### Feedback

erhaps a better or more extensive explanation of what was expected for assessments, but overall this wasn't a big problem

#### Recommendation

This will be addressed.

# Unit Learning Outcomes

#### On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

- 1. Competently prepare and present persuasive quality oral arguments and presentations that are simple, clear, comprehensive, unambiguous, ethically sound, ethnically sensitive, gender neutral, and in plain language.
- 2. Implement a research strategy to support a moot and a plea in mitigation.
- 3. Analyse and explain how clients and the public interpret and construct meaning from oral arguments.
- 4. Apply analytical and critical legal skills in formulating an oral and written argument.

## Alignment of Learning Outcomes, Assessment and Graduate Attributes



### Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Learning Outcomes

Assessment Tasks	Learning Outcomes			
	1	2	3	4
1 - Practical and Written Assessment - 10%	•		•	
2 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 20%	•			•
3 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 30%	•	•		•

Assessment Tasks	Learning Outcomes			
	1	2	3	4
4 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 40%	•	•		•

# Alignment of Graduate Attributes to Learning Outcomes

Graduate Attributes	Learnir	Learning Outcomes			
	1	2	3	4	
1 - Communication	•	•	•	•	
2 - Problem Solving	•	•	•	•	
3 - Critical Thinking	•	•	•	•	
4 - Information Literacy	•	•	•	•	
5 - Team Work		•	•		
6 - Information Technology Competence					
7 - Cross Cultural Competence					
8 - Ethical practice					
9 - Social Innovation		-			
10 - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultures					

# Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Graduate Attributes

Assessment Tasks	Graduate Attributes									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 - Practical and Written Assessment - 10%	•	•	•	•			•			
2 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 20%	•	•	•	•						
3 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 30%	•	•	•	•						
4 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 40%	•	•	•	•	•					

# Textbooks and Resources

### Textbooks

LAWS12064

#### Prescribed

#### An Introduction to Advocacy

2nd edition (2011) Authors: Lee Stuesser Thomson Reuters Sydney , NSW , Australia ISBN: 9780455227580 Binding: Paperback LAWS12064

#### Supplementary

#### **Fundamentals of Trial Technique**

3rd Australian edition (2011) Authors: • Thomas A Mauet and Les A McCrimmon Thomson Reuters Sydney , NSW , Australia ISBN: 64058914668 Binding: Paperback

#### Additional Textbook Information

Copies of both books can be purchased through the CQUni Bookshop here: <u>http://bookshop.cqu.edu.au</u> (search on the Unit code).The Stuesser book includes Assessment material. Other material will be supplied via Moodle.

#### View textbooks at the CQUniversity Bookshop

### **IT Resources**

#### You will need access to the following IT resources:

- CQUniversity Student Email
- Internet
- Unit Website (Moodle)
- Students who do not have access to internet adequate for Zoom (both video and audio) should not enrol in this elective. Zoom.us is a free application via Google, which must be downloaded by all students in this unit. Assessments 2, 3 and 4 are via Zoom. Familiarity with Zoom and access to good quality stable internet is essential.

# **Referencing Style**

All submissions for this unit must use the referencing style: <u>Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 4th ed</u> For further information, see the Assessment Tasks.

# **Teaching Contacts**

Christopher Walshaw Unit Coordinator c.walshaw@cqu.edu.au

## Schedule

### Week 1 - 15 Jul 2019

Module/Topic

**Events and Submissions/Topic** 

Qualities and Skills of Legal Advocacy	Lee Steusser, An Introduction to Advocacy (2nd ed, 2011), Ch 5; reference readings in Study Guide.	
Week 2 - 22 Jul 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic Assessment One Due 26 July 2019 at 22.00 (AEST).
Ethics and Etiquette	Lee Steusser, An Introduction to Advocacy (2nd ed, 2011), Ch 15; reference readings in Study Guide.	
		Attend court hearing or equivalent and post report. Due: Week 2 Friday (26 July 2019) 10:00 pm AEST
Week 3 - 29 Jul 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
The Tasks of a Legal Advocate	Reference readings in Study Guide.	
Week 4 - 05 Aug 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
Preparation for Trial	Lee Steusser, An Introduction to Advocacy (2nd ed, 2011), Chs 1, 2, 3, and 4; reference readings in Study Guide.	
Week 5 - 12 Aug 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Oral Submissions	Lee Steusser, An Introduction to Advocacy (2nd ed, 2011), Chs 5, 6, 7,and Appendix 1; reference readings in Study Guide.	
Vacation Week - 19 Aug 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
		Assessment Two text due 23 August 2019 at 22.00 (AEST).
		<b>Opening address text and oral</b> <b>presentation</b> Due: Vacation Week Friday (23 Aug 2019) 10:00 pm AEST
Week 6 - 26 Aug 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
		Opening Addresses Assessment Two.
Week 7 - 02 Sep 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
Sentencing and Pleas in Mitigation	Penalties and Sentences Act 1992 (Qld); and reference readings and	Due date for written scenario and outline of plea (one document) 6 September 2019 at 22.00 (AEST).
	links in Study Guide.	<b>Plea in mitigation of sentence</b> Due: Week 7 Friday (6 Sept 2019) 11:45 pm AEST

Week 8 - 09 Sep 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
		Pleas in Mitigation Assessment Three.
Week 9 - 16 Sep 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
Witness Examinations, Special Witnesses, and Exhibits and Objections	Lee Steusser, An Introduction to Advocacy (2nd ed, 2011), Chs 9 and 11, Appendix 1; Ch 14 and Chs 10 and 13 and reference readings in Study Guide.	
Week 10 - 23 Sep 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
Written Submissions and Appeals	Lee Steusser, An Introduction to Advocacy (2nd ed, 2011), Ch 8; reference readings in Study Guide.	Scenario and Moot Rules will be posted on Moodle on 27 September 2019.
Week 11 - 30 Sep 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
		Due date for written outline 4 October 2019 at 22.00 (AEST).
Preparation for Moots	Reference readings in Study Guide.	Participation in a moot Due: Week 11 Friday (4 Oct 2019) 10:00 pm AEST
Week 12 - 07 Oct 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
Moots		Moots Assessment Four.
Review/Exam Week - 14 Oct 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	<b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>
Exam Week - 21 Oct 2019		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic

# Term Specific Information

# Assessment Tasks

# 1 Attend court hearing or equivalent and post report.

### Assessment Type

Practical and Written Assessment

#### **Task Description**

# Assessment One: attend court hearing or equivalent and post report

Students are encouraged to attend a court hearing or equivalent as soon as an opportunity presents itself, even if this is before the unit commences. This assessment has two components:

1. Attend a court hearing and evaluate the effectiveness of one or two advocates as they appear to (1) you and (2) the judge. You must NOT make notes in court without the permission of the presiding judge. Make your notes immediately after you leave. See Study Guides for Topics 1 and 2 for guidance. (Maximum 400 words).

2. And (3) evaluate the effectiveness of one or two advocates in terms of courtroom etiquette and (4) describe one or two points that appear to give rise to ethical issues. (Maximum 300 words).

Students who are unable to locate an available court should contact the UC by e mail. An alternative will be suggested, including a video of a court hearing.

#### Assessment Due Date

Week 2 Friday (26 July 2019) 10:00 pm AEST

#### **Return Date to Students**

Week 4 Friday (9 Aug 2019)

# Weighting 10%

Minimum mark or grade

10%

#### **Assessment Criteria**

- 1. Demonstrate powers of observation and assessment.
- 2. Articulate clearly, concisely and relevantly your observations and assessments.
- 3. Display critical thinking.
- 4. Demonstrate knowledge of the factors relevant to the qualities and skills of advocacy.
- 5. Demonstrate knowledge of the factors relevant to ethics and etiquette in court.

### **Marking Rubric**

All students who post, on time and within the word counts, a report that complies with the task description will receive 10 marks. Marks will be deducted to the extent that this does not occur.

#### **Referencing Style**

• Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 4th ed

#### Submission

Online

#### Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Competently prepare and present persuasive quality oral arguments and presentations that are simple, clear, comprehensive, unambiguous, ethically sound, ethnically sensitive, gender neutral, and in plain language.
- Analyse and explain how clients and the public interpret and construct meaning from oral arguments.

#### **Graduate Attributes**

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Cross Cultural Competence

## 2 Opening address text and oral presentation

#### Assessment Type

Presentation and Written Assessment

#### **Task Description**

## Assessments Two, Three and Four via Zoom

Assessments Two, Three and Four require the posting of written material on Moodle and live presentations via Zoom. The live presentations are given in groups of no more than 4 and at a day and hour to be arranged with students. For each group and after all presentations, feedback is provided orally on a group basis unless a student objects to that. Group members may collaborate but this is not necessary, except for teams of two in Assessment Four. The presentations are not recorded. Note the Assessment Criteria and Rubrics for each Assessment.

# Assessment Two: opening address text and oral presentation

Read the Study Guide for Topic 5. Read the note of *Waite v Stewart* in *Stuesser* pages 191-203. Read about the content of an opening in *Stuesser* Ch 6, pages 51-57. Ignore other references to this case in *Stuesser*. Read and be guided by the Study Guides for Topics 1 – 4 and the required reading, especially about theory of the case and quality of presentation in the courtroom.

Read aloud the sample complete opening for the plaintiff in *Stuesser* at pages 55-56. Time it. **Devise your own theory of the case**. Write your own opening address no longer than two thirds the length of the *Steusser* opening, avoiding any

risk of overstatement and being one-sided, which I suggest is a problem with the *Stuesser* opening. Watch the short instruction video.

Write out the reduced text and submit this as a document for assessment by posting on Moodle under assessment Two. Present (not read) your opening address on Zoom at a day and hour to be arranged.

#### Assessment Due Date

Vacation Week Friday (23 Aug 2019) 10:00 pm AEST The due day and time is for the reduced text. Presentations are made during Week 7.

#### **Return Date to Students**

Week 8 Friday (13 Sept 2019)

### Weighting

20%

# Minimum mark or grade 20%

#### **Assessment Criteria**

1. Demonstrate that you

- Have an understanding of what is required to adequately present oral argument in court;
- Have an understanding of the nature and content of an opening address;
- Are familiar with the aids to structuring your arguments.
- 2. Demonstrate that you are familiar with the theory of the case.
- 3. Demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate oral argument prepared by another advocate.

4. Demonstrate in the oral presentation an ability to be concise, relevant and persuasive.

### **Marking Rubric**

Maximum mark of 20 for a combination of presentation of opening, content of opening and content of document, graded with reference to the assessment criteria and this Rubric (content refers to written and oral content):

HD 17-20: Court ready in all respects and outstanding down to excellent.

D 15-16: Excellent to very good presentation let down by content, in that it is incomplete and/or repetitive or lacking in focus.

C 13-14: Good presentation let down by lack of clear strategy and focus and/or content that it is incomplete and/or repetitive.

P 10-12: Effort but out of comfort zone with presentation. Adequate down to barely adequate content.

#### **Referencing Style**

• Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 4th ed

#### Submission

Online

#### Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Competently prepare and present persuasive quality oral arguments and presentations that are simple, clear, comprehensive, unambiguous, ethically sound, ethnically sensitive, gender neutral, and in plain language.
- Apply analytical and critical legal skills in formulating an oral and written argument.

#### **Graduate Attributes**

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy

### 3 Plea in mitigation of sentence

#### Assessment Type

Presentation and Written Assessment

#### **Task Description**

# Assessments Two, Three and Four via Zoom

Assessments Two, Three and Four require the posting of written material on Moodle and live presentations via Zoom. The live presentations are given in groups of no more than 4 and at a day and hour to be arranged with students. For each group and after all presentations, feedback is provided orally on a group basis unless a student objects to that. Group members may collaborate but this is not necessary, except for teams of two in Assessment Four. The presentations are not recorded. Note the Assessment Criteria and Rubrics for each Assessment.

# Assessment Three: written and oral plea in mitigation of sentence

Students will engage in the following steps:

- 1. The preparation of an imaginary scenario that requires a plea in mitigation of sentence (no more than one page).
- 2. The preparation of an outline of the plea (no more than one page).
- 3. Posting of the scenario and outline in one document by the due date below.
- 4. An oral presentation of the plea via Zoom on the day and hour to be arranged.

#### Assessment Due Date

Week 7 Friday (6 Sept 2019) 11:45 pm AEST The due day and time is for the text. Pleas in Mitigation are presented in Week 8.

#### **Return Date to Students**

Week 10 Friday (27 Sept 2019)

Weighting

30%

#### Minimum mark or grade

30%

#### Assessment Criteria

- 1. Demonstrate an ability to construct an interesting scenario that also shows knowledge of sentencing rules and guidelines.
- 2. Competently prepare and present a concise, relevant and persuasive outline and oral argument in mitigation of sentence.
- 3. Make a presentation that is simple, clear, comprehensive, unambiguous, ethically sound, ethnically sensitive, gender neutral, and in plain language.
- 4. Implement a research strategy to support the plea in mitigation.
- 5. Demonstrate knowledge of particular rules and guidelines relevant to the scenario.
- 6. Apply analytical and critical legal skills in formulating an oral and written argument.

### **Marking Rubric**

Maximum mark of 30 for a combination of presentation of plea, content of presentation and content of document,

graded with reference to the assessment criteria as follows (content refers to written and oral content):

HD 25-30: Court ready in all respects and outstanding down to excellent.

D 22-24: Excellent to very good presentation let down by content, in that it is incomplete and/or repetitive or lacking in focus.

C 19-21: Good presentation let down by lack of clear strategy and focus and/or content that it is incomplete and/or repetitive.

P 15-18: Effort but out of comfort zone with presentation. Adequate down to barely adequate content.

#### **Referencing Style**

• Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 4th ed

#### Submission

Online

#### Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Competently prepare and present persuasive quality oral arguments and presentations that are simple, clear, comprehensive, unambiguous, ethically sound, ethnically sensitive, gender neutral, and in plain language.
- Implement a research strategy to support a moot and a plea in mitigation.
- Apply analytical and critical legal skills in formulating an oral and written argument.

#### **Graduate Attributes**

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy

## 4 Participation in a moot

Assessment Type Presentation and Written Assessment

**Task Description** 

# Assessments Two, Three and Four via Zoom

Assessments Two, Three and Four require the posting of written material on Moodle and live presentations via Zoom. The live presentations are given in groups of no more than 4 and at a day and hour to be arranged with students. For each group and after all presentations, feedback is provided orally on a group basis unless a student objects to that. Group members may collaborate but this is not necessary, except for teams of two in Assessment Four. The presentations are not recorded. Note the Assessment Criteria and Rubrics for each Assessment.

# Assessment Four: participation in a moot

The moot will involve the presentation of written and oral arguments before an appellate court in a civil appeal. The moot problem will be posted on Moodle on 15 September 2017 and will be the same for all students. Students will form teams of 2, for the appellant or for the respondent. Moot Rules will be posted on Moodle on 15 September 2017. Each team will post and exchange a written outline of their intended argument in accordance with the Moot Rules. Each team member supports that argument in oral submissions before the appellate court and responds to questions from the court. The hearings, which will be no longer than one hour, will be via Zoom.

The written outline of the intended argument must be posted on Moodle and be delivered to the opposing team on or before the due date below. Timetables and hearing times will be fixed in consultation with students. The moots take place at a day and hour to be arranged during Weeks 11 and 12.

#### Assessment Due Date

Week 11 Friday (4 Oct 2019) 10:00 pm AEST The due date is for the posting and exchange of the written outline. Moots take place during Week 12.

#### **Return Date to Students**

Exam Week Friday (25 Oct 2019)

Weighting 40%

Minimum mark or grade 40%

#### **Assessment Criteria**

A demonstration of the following abilities:

- 1. Competently prepare and present a concise, relevant and persuasive outline of the intended oral argument.
- 2. Make a presentation that is persuasive, and is clear, comprehensive, unambiguous, ethically sound, ethnically sensitive, gender neutral, and in plain language.
- 3. Implement a research strategy to support the argument.
- 4. Apply analytical and critical legal skills in formulating the written and oral argument.
- 5. Apply the qualities and skills of advocacy including an ability to respond to questions orally.
- 6. Appropriate manners.

### **Marking Rubric**

Maximum mark of 40 for a combination of presentation at moot, content of presentation and content of outline, graded with reference to the assessment criteria as follows (content in written and oral content):

HD 34-40: Court ready in all respects and outstanding down to excellent.

D 30-33: Excellent to very good presentation let down by content, in that it is incomplete and/or repetitive or lacking in focus.

C 26-29: Good presentation let down by lack of clear strategy and focus and/or content that it is incomplete and/or repetitive.

P 20-25: Effort but out of comfort zone with presentation. Adequate down to barely adequate content.

#### **Referencing Style**

• Australian Guide to Legal Citation, 4th ed

### Submission

Online

#### Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Competently prepare and present persuasive quality oral arguments and presentations that are simple, clear, comprehensive, unambiguous, ethically sound, ethnically sensitive, gender neutral, and in plain language.
- Implement a research strategy to support a moot and a plea in mitigation.
- Apply analytical and critical legal skills in formulating an oral and written argument.

#### **Graduate Attributes**

• Communication

- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Team Work

# Academic Integrity Statement

As a CQUniversity student you are expected to act honestly in all aspects of your academic work.

Any assessable work undertaken or submitted for review or assessment must be your own work. Assessable work is any type of work you do to meet the assessment requirements in the unit, including draft work submitted for review and feedback and final work to be assessed.

When you use the ideas, words or data of others in your assessment, you must thoroughly and clearly acknowledge the source of this information by using the correct referencing style for your unit. Using others' work without proper acknowledgement may be considered a form of intellectual dishonesty.

Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in your university study ensures the CQUniversity qualification you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

As a student, you are responsible for reading and following CQUniversity's policies, including the **Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure**. This policy sets out CQUniversity's expectations of you to act with integrity, examples of academic integrity breaches to avoid, the processes used to address alleged breaches of academic integrity, and potential penalties.

#### What is a breach of academic integrity?

A breach of academic integrity includes but is not limited to plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion, cheating, contract cheating, and academic misconduct. The Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure defines what these terms mean and gives examples.

#### Why is academic integrity important?

A breach of academic integrity may result in one or more penalties, including suspension or even expulsion from the University. It can also have negative implications for student visas and future enrolment at CQUniversity or elsewhere. Students who engage in contract cheating also risk being blackmailed by contract cheating services.

#### Where can I get assistance?

For academic advice and guidance, the <u>Academic Learning Centre (ALC)</u> can support you in becoming confident in completing assessments with integrity and of high standard.

What can you do to act with integrity?



#### Be Honest If your assessment task is done by someone else, it would be dishonest of you to claim it as your own



#### Seek Help

If you are not sure about how to cite or reference in essays, reports etc, then seek help from your lecturer, the library or the Academic Learning Centre (ALC)



#### Produce Original Work

Originality comes from your ability to read widely, think critically, and apply your gained knowledge to address a question or problem