



NURS20168 *Designing Research in Nursing, Midwifery and Social Sciences*

Term 1 - 2021

Profile information current as at 26/04/2024 10:11 pm

All details in this unit profile for NURS20168 have been officially approved by CQU University and represent a learning partnership between the University and you (our student). The information will not be changed unless absolutely necessary and any change will be clearly indicated by an approved correction included in the profile.

General Information

Overview

This unit introduces you to the construction of empirical knowledge in nursing, midwifery and social sciences. You will examine research paradigms and methodologies related to quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods research. From these foundations, you will develop the skills and knowledge required to produce a research proposal, including ethical considerations, methods, data collection, and data analysis.

Details

Career Level: *Postgraduate*

Unit Level: *Level 9*

Credit Points: 6

Student Contribution Band: 7

Fraction of Full-Time Student Load: 0.125

Pre-requisites or Co-requisites

Co-requisite of NURS20173 - Nursing, Midwifery and Social Sciences Project 1

Important note: Students enrolled in a subsequent unit who failed their pre-requisite unit, should drop the subsequent unit before the census date or within 10 working days of Fail grade notification. Students who do not drop the unit in this timeframe cannot later drop the unit without academic and financial liability. See details in the [Assessment Policy and Procedure \(Higher Education Coursework\)](#).

Offerings For Term 1 - 2021

- Online

Attendance Requirements

All on-campus students are expected to attend scheduled classes - in some units, these classes are identified as a mandatory (pass/fail) component and attendance is compulsory. International students, on a student visa, must maintain a full time study load and meet both attendance and academic progress requirements in each study period (satisfactory attendance for International students is defined as maintaining at least an 80% attendance record).

Website

[This unit has a website, within the Moodle system, which is available two weeks before the start of term. It is important that you visit your Moodle site throughout the term. Please visit Moodle for more information.](#)

Class and Assessment Overview

Recommended Student Time Commitment

Each 6-credit Postgraduate unit at CQUniversity requires an overall time commitment of an average of 12.5 hours of study per week, making a total of 150 hours for the unit.

Class Timetable

[Regional Campuses](#)

Bundaberg, Cairns, Emerald, Gladstone, Mackay, Rockhampton, Townsville

[Metropolitan Campuses](#)

Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney

Assessment Overview

1. **Essay**

Weighting: 20%

2. **Presentation**

Weighting: 30%

3. **Research Proposal**

Weighting: 50%

Assessment Grading

This is a graded unit: your overall grade will be calculated from the marks or grades for each assessment task, based on the relative weightings shown in the table above. You must obtain an overall mark for the unit of at least 50%, or an overall grade of 'pass' in order to pass the unit. If any 'pass/fail' tasks are shown in the table above they must also be completed successfully ('pass' grade). You must also meet any minimum mark requirements specified for a particular assessment task, as detailed in the 'assessment task' section (note that in some instances, the minimum mark for a task may be greater than 50%). Consult the [University's Grades and Results Policy](#) for more details of interim results and final grades.

CQUniversity Policies

All University policies are available on the [CQUniversity Policy site](#).

You may wish to view these policies:

- Grades and Results Policy
- Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)
- Review of Grade Procedure
- Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure – Domestic Students
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure – International Students
- Student Refund and Credit Balance Policy and Procedure
- Student Feedback – Compliments and Complaints Policy and Procedure
- Information and Communications Technology Acceptable Use Policy and Procedure

This list is not an exhaustive list of all University policies. The full list of University policies are available on the [CQUniversity Policy site](#).

Previous Student Feedback

Feedback, Recommendations and Responses

Every unit is reviewed for enhancement each year. At the most recent review, the following staff and student feedback items were identified and recommendations were made.

Feedback from Unit Evaluation

Feedback

Provide more information to guide structuring and writing the research assignment in the unit profile task description.

Recommendation

Step-by-step task activities will be provided to support students' understanding of the assignment requirements and condense all assignment relevant information in one place on Moodle.

Feedback from Unit Evaluation

Feedback

Weekly topic and lecture guide wanted.

Recommendation

Develop weekly topic guides outlining the scope of the topic, key learning outcomes, student questions for the discussion forum, useful links to videos, internet resources and readings.

Feedback from Unit Evaluation

Feedback

The essay on philosophical approaches to generating knowledge was a complex and difficult assignment for students with no previous knowledge in this area.

Recommendation

For Term 2, 2020 the Unit Learning Outcomes and assessments have been extensively revised. This assignment is no longer given to students and the material is not in the scope of the unit learning.

Unit Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

1. Reflect on different research paradigms and their relevance to personal worldviews and professional context
2. Construct and justify research question/s and their alignment with qualitative, quantitative or mixed methods research design/s
3. Propose and justify the research methods for data collection and analysis to answer your research question/s
4. Discuss ethical considerations relevant to your research proposal.

NA

Alignment of Learning Outcomes, Assessment and Graduate Attributes



Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Learning Outcomes

Assessment Tasks	Learning Outcomes			
	1	2	3	4
1 - Essay - 20%	•			
2 - Presentation - 30%		•		

Textbooks and Resources

Textbooks

There are no required textbooks.

IT Resources

You will need access to the following IT resources:

- CQUniversity Student Email
- Internet
- Unit Website (Moodle)
- Microsoft Word
- Zoom

Referencing Style

All submissions for this unit must use the referencing style: [American Psychological Association 7th Edition \(APA 7th edition\)](#)

For further information, see the Assessment Tasks.

Teaching Contacts

Colleen Johnston-Devin Unit Coordinator
c.johnston-devin@cqu.edu.au

Sue Hunt Unit Coordinator
s.hunt@cqu.edu.au

Julie Shaw Unit Coordinator
j.m.shaw@cqu.edu.au

Schedule

Week 1 - 08 Mar 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Introduction to the unit Introduction to designing research Research proposals	See eReading list for recommended readings.	Unit Zoom drop-in session, see Moodle site for details

Week 2 - 15 Mar 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
The nature of knowledge	See eReading list for recommended readings.	

Week 3 - 22 Mar 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Research paradigms	See eReading list for recommended readings.	Unit Zoom drop-in session, see Moodle site for details A reflection on research paradigms Due: Week 3 Friday (26 Mar 2021) 5:00 pm AEST

Week 4 - 29 Mar 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
--------------	---------	------------------------------

Constructing a research question See eReading list for recommended readings.

Week 5 - 05 Apr 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Research design	See eReading list for recommended readings.	Unit Zoom drop-in session, see Moodle site for details

Vacation Week - 12 Apr 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
--------------	---------	------------------------------

Week 6 - 19 Apr 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Research design continued	See eReading list for recommended readings.	A presentation on research questions and design Due: Week 6 Friday (23 Apr 2021) 5:00 pm AEST

Week 7 - 26 Apr 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Research proposals – An overview and the front sections	See eReading list for recommended readings.	Unit Zoom drop-in session, see Moodle site for details

Week 8 - 03 May 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Research proposals continued – Methodology and Methods	See eReading list for recommended readings.	

Week 9 - 10 May 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Research proposals continued – Research ethics	See eReading list for recommended readings.	Unit Zoom drop-in session, see Moodle site for details

Week 10 - 17 May 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Research proposals continued– Ethics section and conclusion	See eReading list for recommended readings.	

Week 11 - 24 May 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
The use of arguments and reasoning in research	See eReading list for recommended readings.	Unit Zoom drop-in session, see Moodle site for details

Week 12 - 31 May 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Finalising your research proposal	See eReading list for recommended readings.	Research proposal Due: Week 12 Friday (4 June 2021) 5:00 pm AEST

Review/Exam Week - 07 Jun 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
--------------	---------	------------------------------

Exam Week - 14 Jun 2021

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
--------------	---------	------------------------------

Assessment Tasks

1 A reflection on research paradigms

Assessment Type

Essay

Task Description

Length: 850 words plus or minus 10% (excluding reference list)

Unit Coordinator: Dr Colleen Johnston-Devin

Aim

The aim of this assessment is to allow you to explore and examine your personal worldview in relation to three main research paradigms (Positivism, Interpretivism and Critical Inquiry).

To successfully undertake this essay, you will need to engage with the weekly unit material on the NURS20168 Moodle site (Weeks 1 – 3). The resources offered during these weeks will support you to complete this assessment task.

Instructions

You are writing a reflective essay. Positivism, Interpretivism and Critical Inquiry are major research paradigms and have their own underlying ontological and epistemological assumptions (Crotty, 1998). Your reflection should first demonstrate your understanding of the three major research paradigms. Then discuss how your personal worldview connects with one of them. Your explanation should include how your personal assumptions, understandings and concepts of the world relate to Positivism or Interpretivism or Critical Inquiry. You can relate your response to your area of practice (Clinical Nursing, Mental Health Nursing, or Family and Domestic Violence).

Please follow the steps below to complete your assessment task:

1. Write an introductory paragraph that provides a 'map' of your essay. Begin with a general statement to introduce the topic and purpose of the essay, followed by an outline of the main arguments. This is done to orient and provide signposts to your reader about the contents of the essay.
2. In the first section of the body of the essay, begin by providing a brief overview of the three research paradigms.
3. In the second section of the body of the essay, reflect on and discuss how your personal worldview connects with one of these research paradigms. The discussion needs to explain how your personal assumptions, understandings and concepts of the world relates to Positivism or Interpretivism or Critical Inquiry.
4. Write a concluding paragraph that summarises your key arguments and draws your essay together to a logical close.

Literature and References

In this assessment use at least 5 references to support your discussion. Suitable references include peer-reviewed journal articles as well as textbooks and credible websites. When sourcing information, consider the 5 elements of a quality reference: currency, authority, relevance, objectivity, and coverage. Grey literature sourced from the internet must be from reputable websites such as from government, university, or peak national bodies: for example, the Australian College of Nursing.

Requirements

- Include a title page which includes your name, student name and number, and word count.
- Use a conventional and legible size 12 font, such as Times New Roman or Arial, with 1.5 line spacing and 2.54cm page margins (standard pre-set margin in Microsoft Word).
- Include page numbers on each page in a footer.
- Write in the first-person perspective.
- Use formal academic language.
- Use the seventh edition American Psychological Association (APA) referencing style. The CQUniversity Academic Learning Centre has an online [APA Referencing Style Guide](#).
- The word count is considered from the first word of the introduction to the last word of the conclusion. The word count excludes the reference list but includes in-text references and direct quotations.

Resources

- An exemplar has been provided on the Moodle site in the Assessment 1 portal. This exemplar is an extract from a comparable assessment that discusses a similar topic to give you an idea of what is required. Take note of the language that has been used, which is academic, but not overly complicated. The referencing is also comprehensive.
- You can use unit provided materials and other credible sources (e.g., journal articles, books) to reference your argument. The quality and credibility of your sources are important.
- We recommend that you access your discipline specific [library guide](#): the [Nursing and Midwifery Guide](#); [Social Work and Human Services Guide](#).
- We recommend you use EndNote to manage your citations and reference list. More information on how to use EndNote is available at the [CQUniversity Library website](#).
- For information on academic communication please go to the [Academic Learning Centre Moodle site](#). The [Academic Communication section](#) has many helpful resources including information for students with English as a second language.

- Submit a draft to Studiosity.
- Submit a draft before the due date to review your Turnitin Similarity Score before making a final submission.

[Instructions are available here.](#)

References

Crotty, M. (1998). Introduction: The research process. In M. Crotty (Ed.), *The foundations of social research: meaning and perspective in the research process* (pp. 1-17). Allen & Unwin.

Assessment Due Date

Week 3 Friday (26 Mar 2021) 5:00 pm AEST

Return Date to Students

Week 5 Friday (9 Apr 2021)

Weighting

20%

Assessment Criteria

Key Criteria	High Distinction 84.5 - 100%	Distinction 74.50 - 84.49%	Credit 64.50 - 74.49%	Pass 49.50 - 64.49%	Fail <49.5%	Fail (content absent) 0%
Presentation (10%)	Excellent presentation of assessment according to requirements. Adheres to prescribed word count.	Well-presented assessment with 1 formatting error. Adheres to prescribed word count.	Good presentation of assessment with 2 formatting errors. Adheres to prescribed word count.	An adequately presented assessment with more than 2 formatting errors. Adheres to prescribed word count.	Poorly presented assessment that does not follow requirements. Deviates significantly from prescribed word count.	Submission is missing most aspects of task. Little evidence of task requirements.
Structure and Design (10%)	Consistently accurate with spelling, grammar and paragraph structure. Organisation and structure clear and easy to follow. Written in first person language.	Minimal (1-2) critical errors in spelling, grammar or paragraph structure. Organisation and structure clear and relatively easy to follow. Written in first person language.	Few (3-4) critical errors with spelling, grammar or paragraph structure. Organisation and structure appropriate and can be followed. Written in first person language.	Several (5-6) critical errors with spelling, grammar or paragraph structure. Organisation and structure apparent although not easy to follow. Written in first person language.	Many (>6) errors with spelling, grammar or paragraph structure; organisation and structure lacks clarity and is difficult to follow. Not written in first person language.	No structure present.
Introduction and Conclusion (20%)	The essay has a clear and succinct introduction and conclusion. The introduction provides excellent background information and outlines the direction of the essay, and the conclusion succinctly summarises the key points.	The essay has a clear introduction and conclusion. The introduction provides good background information and outlines the direction of the essay, and the conclusion summarises most key points.	The essay has an adequate introduction and conclusion. The introduction provides some background information and outlines the direction of the essay, and the conclusion summarises some key points.	An introduction and conclusion have been attempted. The introduction provides limited background information and outline of the essay's direction, and the conclusion has a few key points.	The essay does not have a clear and succinct introduction and conclusion. The introduction fails to outline the direction of the essay or it lacks background information. The conclusion fails to bring the essay to a logical close.	No introduction or conclusion present.
Relevancy (20%)	Content provides comprehensive and critical discussion that demonstrates an excellent understanding of the three research paradigms.	Content provides strong and relevant discussion that demonstrates a very good understanding of the three research paradigms.	Content provides adequate and relevant discussion that demonstrates a solid understanding of the three research paradigms.	Content provides some relevant discussion that demonstrates a fair understanding of the three research paradigms.	Content provides inadequate or inappropriate discussion that demonstrates a poor understanding of the three research paradigms.	No discussion present.
Reflection (30%)	There is clear and strong reflection in relation to the student's personal worldview. This is consistent throughout the essay.	There is very good reflection in relation to the student's personal worldview. This is generally consistent throughout the essay.	There is evidence of reflection in relation to the student's personal worldview. This is consistent throughout the essay with 1-2 exceptions.	There is some reflection in relation to the student's personal worldview. Some inconsistencies in the discussion are apparent.	There is little or no evidence of reflection in relation to the student's personal worldview in the essay.	No reflection present.
References (5%)	Expertly integrates quality references to support and reflect all ideas, factual information and quotations.	Consistently integrates quality references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations with 1 or 2 exceptions.	Frequently integrates quality references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 3 or 4 exceptions.	Occasionally integrates references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 5 or 6 exceptions.	Fails to or infrequent attempts (>6 errors) to integrate references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations.	No references present.
Referencing (5%)	Referencing fully adheres to APA style guidelines.	Referencing generally adheres to APA style guidelines with 1-2 consistent errors.	Referencing mostly adheres to APA style guidelines with 3-4 consistent errors.	Referencing occasionally adheres to APA style guidelines with 5-6 consistent errors.	Referencing does not adhere to APA style guidelines with more than 6 consistent errors.	No referencing present.

Referencing Style

- [American Psychological Association 7th Edition \(APA 7th edition\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

Submit your assessment via the unit Moodle site in Microsoft Word format only.

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Reflect on different research paradigms and their relevance to personal worldviews and professional context

Graduate Attributes

- Knowledge
- Communication
- Research
- Self-management

2 A presentation on research questions and design

Assessment Type

Presentation

Task Description

Length: 10 minutes plus or minus 10% (six PowerPoint slides)

Unit Coordinator: Dr Colleen Johnston-Devin

Aim

The aim of this assessment is to construct and justify research questions and explore how they align with different research designs.

The purpose of the assessment is for you to make connections between the unit material and undertaking real-life research. To meet this aim, please engage with the weekly unit material from Weeks 1-6 where resources are provided that will support your completion of this assessment task.

Instructions

You are preparing an oral presentation on the research topic of workplace stress.

You will construct 3 different research questions that explore this topic. Each question will align to one of the 3 types of methodologies: qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods. You will also state which method of data collection you would use to answer each question. For example:

Research question: What is the relationship between burnout and depressive symptoms?

Research design: Quantitative – Longitudinal survey research

You will briefly discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each design approach and its suitability to answer the associated research question and meaningfully explore the topic.

Please follow the steps below to complete your assessment task:

1. Construct a different research question (3 in total) for each type of research design: qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods.
2. Prepare an oral presentation of 6 slides.
3. The first slide will include your name and the title of your presentation.
4. The second slide will introduce the topic. Provide a brief overview of the topic as it relates to your discipline. Include a justification of why it is an important topic to research. You will need to include key issues and concepts relating to the topic, supported by current literature.
5. The next 3 slides will describe each of your 3 research questions. Use 1 slide for each question. Specify the data collection methods you would use to answer each question. Provide 1 advantage and 1 disadvantage of each design approach in relation to its suitability to explore the research topic.
6. The final slide will be your reference list.

Literature and references

In this assessment use at least 5 contemporary references (<5 years) to support your discussion. You may also use seminal scholarly literature where relevant. Suitable references include peer-reviewed journal articles as well as textbooks and credible websites. When sourcing information, consider the 5 elements of a quality reference: currency, authority, relevance, objectivity, and coverage. Grey literature sourced from the internet must be from reputable websites such as from government, university, or peak national bodies: for example, the Australian College of Nursing.

Requirements

- Use a conventional and legible font.
- Use the seventh edition American Psychological Association (APA) referencing style. The CQUniversity Academic Learning Centre has an online [APA Referencing Style Guide](#).

Resources

- You can use unit provided materials and other credible sources (e.g. journal articles, books) to reference your argument. The quality and credibility of your sources are important.

- We recommend that you access your discipline specific [library guide](#): the [Nursing and Midwifery Guide](#); [Social Work and Human Services Guide](#).
- We recommend you use EndNote to manage your citations and reference list. More information on how to use EndNote is available at the [CQUniversity Library website](#).
- For information on academic communication please go to the [Academic Learning Centre Moodle site](#). The [Academic Communication section](#) has many helpful resources including information for students with English as a second language. There are also [Oral Presentation resources](#).
- For information on using PowerPoint please go to the [Academic Learning Centre Computing section](#).
- Submit a draft before the due date to review your Turnitin Similarity Score before making a final submission. [Instructions are available here](#).

Assessment Due Date

Week 6 Friday (23 Apr 2021) 5:00 pm AEST

Return Date to Students

Week 8 Friday (7 May 2021)

Weighting

30%

Assessment Criteria

Key Criteria	High Distinction 84.5 – 100%	Distinction 74.50 – 84.49%	Credit 64.50 – 74.49%	Pass 49.50 – 64.49%	Fail <49.5%	Fail (content absent) 0%
Slide Presentation (10%)	A total of 6 slides used that are very aesthetically pleasing and hold the audience's attention. The slides are free of unnecessary detail, succinct and readable. The slides contain a very appealing array of appropriate script and graphics.	A total of 6 slides used that are aesthetically pleasing and hold the audience's attention. The slides are free of unnecessary detail, succinct and readable. The slides contain an appealing array of appropriate script and graphics.	A total of 6 slides used that are mostly aesthetically pleasing and hold the audience's attention. The slides are mostly free of unnecessary detail, succinct and readable. The slides contain appropriate script and graphics.	A total of 6 slides used that contain some unnecessary detail but are readable. The slides contain somewhat appropriate script and graphics.	6 slides have not been used. The slides are not aesthetically pleasing and do not hold the audience's attention. The slides contain unnecessary detail, are cluttered and are not readable. The slides do not contain appropriate script and graphics.	No slides present.
Oral Presentation (10%)	The presenter very readily engages the audience. The presenter speaks very clearly, demonstrating enthusiasm with the research question and topic. The research questions are very clearly articulated and justified. Topic and design issues are very clearly presented. The presenter comprehensively addresses audience questions.	The presenter readily engages the audience. The presenter speaks clearly, demonstrating enthusiasm with the research question and topic. The research questions are clearly articulated and justified. Topic and design issues are clearly presented. The presenter clearly addresses audience questions.	The presenter engages the audience. The presenter speaks well and demonstrates some enthusiasm on the research question and topic. The research questions are articulated and justified. Topic and design issues are presented. The presenter effectively addresses audience questions.	The presenter somewhat engages the audience. The presenter speaks well at times and attempts to demonstrate enthusiasm on the research question and topic. The research questions are stated and somewhat justified. Topic and design issues are presented but lack clarity. The presenter mostly addresses the audience questions.	The presenter does not engage the audience in the presentation. The presenter does not speak clearly nor demonstrate enthusiasm on research question and topic. The research question is not stated or justified. Topic and design issues are not clearly presented. Audience questions are not adequately addressed.	No oral presentation present.
Construction of research questions (35%)	The research questions are very clearly expressed. The questions very clearly explore the key issues of the topic. The content is comprehensively substantiated with logic, examples and scholarly literature.	The research questions are clearly expressed. The questions clearly explore the key issues of the topic. The content is substantiated with logic, examples and scholarly literature.	The research questions are mostly clearly expressed. The questions mostly explore the key issues of the topic. The content is mostly substantiated with logic, examples and scholarly literature.	The research questions are somewhat clearly expressed. The questions somewhat explore the key issues of the topic. The content is at times substantiated with logic, examples and scholarly literature.	The research questions are not clearly expressed. The questions do not explore the key issues of the topic. The content is not substantiated with logic, examples and scholarly literature.	No research questions present.
Justification of design approach (35%)	The justification of the 3 research design approaches and methods is comprehensive. The alignment between the research questions and the designs are clear and the advantages and disadvantages of each approach are discussed clearly and succinctly.	The justification of the 3 research design approaches and methods is clear. The alignment between the research questions and the designs are apparent and the advantages and disadvantages of each approach are discussed well.	Three research design approaches and methods are justified. The alignment between the research questions and the designs are mostly apparent, and the advantages and disadvantages of each approach are discussed.	The 3 research design approaches and methods are somewhat justified. The alignment between the research questions and the designs lacks clarity and the advantages and disadvantages of each approach are mentioned.	There is minimal discussion of the 3 research design approaches and methods. The alignment between the research questions and the designs are not apparent. The advantages and disadvantages are not mentioned.	No justification present.
References (5%)	Expertly integrates quality references to support and reflect all ideas, factual information and quotations.	Consistently integrates quality references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations with 1 or 2 exceptions.	Frequently integrates quality references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 3 or 4 exceptions.	Occasionally integrates references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 5 or 6 exceptions.	Fails to or infrequent attempts (>6 errors) to integrate references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations.	No references present.

Referencing (5%)	Referencing fully adheres to APA style guidelines.	Referencing generally adheres to APA style guidelines with 1-2 consistent errors.	Referencing mostly adheres to APA style guidelines with 3-4 consistent errors.	Referencing occasionally adheres to APA style guidelines with 5-6 consistent errors.	Referencing does not adhere to APA style guidelines with more than 6 consistent errors.	No referencing present.
------------------	--	---	--	--	---	-------------------------

Referencing Style

- [American Psychological Association 7th Edition \(APA 7th edition\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

Submission will be a two-part process: 1. You will be presenting live to your lecturer and fellow students using Zoom, a video conferencing program. Your lecturer will help you with using Zoom and arrange a suitable time with you to present. With your permission, your presentation will be filmed for marking purposes. Only your lecturer will have access to this video which will be stored securely. 2. Please submit your presentation slides via the Moodle site.

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Construct and justify research question/s and their alignment with qualitative, quantitative or mixed methods research design/s

Graduate Attributes

- Knowledge
- Communication
- Cognitive, technical and creative skills
- Research
- Ethical and Professional Responsibility

3 Research proposal

Assessment Type

Research Proposal

Task Description

Length: 3000 words plus or minus 10% (excluding reference list)

Unit Coordinator: Dr Colleen Johnston-Devin

Aim

The aim of this assessment is to write a research proposal to demonstrate your knowledge of research design. To meet the assessment aim, please engage with the weekly unit material on Moodle. The resources provided will support your completion of this assessment task.

Instructions

You are writing a research proposal on the topic of workplace stress. Select one of the research questions you constructed in Assessment 2. You are required to explain how you will answer your research question using an appropriate research design.

Please follow the structure below to complete your research proposal:

Structure

Title (30 words maximum)

Keywords (5 keywords)

Background and Aims (~800 words)

Provide an overview of the topic selected and the context for your work. Describe what your planned project aims to achieve.

Significance and Impact (~450 words)

Describe the proposed outcomes of your research and associated benefits. For example, improving practice and care provision.

Literature Review (~400 words)

Provide a summary of what is already known on the topic as context for your own research.

Research Question (~100 words)

State the research question that you are aiming to answer.

Methodology and Methods (~800 words)

Outline the methodology that you will employ. Justify your methodology against the other options available. Describe and justify the research methods you will be using to answer your research question. Detail any data collection tools you

will be using, for example questionnaires, screening tools, scales to measure a construct. State the type of sampling you will use, who the participants will be and how they will be recruited. Include the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Summarise your proposed data analysis. Describe how you plan to analyse and report the data to answer the research question.

Ethics (~250 words)

Discuss the ethical considerations relevant to your methods, for example risks relating to consent, confidentiality, discomfort, and inconvenience.

Conclusion (~200 words)

Summarise the key points of the research proposal. Explain how the proposed research design will answer the research question. Mention the ethical considerations relevant to the research proposal. Finally, summarise the contribution to knowledge in your area of clinical practice. The conclusion should not include new material.

Reference List

Include at least 10 contemporary references (<5 years).

Literature and references

In this assessment use at least 10 contemporary references (<5 years) to support your discussion. You may also use seminal scholarly literature where relevant. Suitable references include peer-reviewed journal articles as well as textbooks and credible websites. When sourcing information, consider the 5 elements of a quality reference: currency, authority, relevance, objectivity, and coverage. Grey literature sourced from the internet must be from reputable websites such as from government, university, or peak national bodies: for example, the Australian College of Nursing.

Requirements

- Use a conventional and legible size 12 font, such as Times New Roman or Arial, with 1.5 line spacing and 2.54cm page margins (standard pre-set margin in Microsoft Word).
- Include page numbers on each page in a footer.
- You may write in the first or third-person perspective.
- Use formal academic language.
- Use the seventh edition American Psychological Association (APA) referencing style. The CQUniversity Academic Learning Centre has an online [APA Referencing Style Guide](#).
- The word count is considered from the first word of the background to the last word of the conclusion. The word count excludes the reference list but includes in-text references and direct quotations.

Resources

- You can use unit provided materials and other credible sources (e.g., journal articles, books) to reference your argument. The quality and credibility of your sources are important.
- We recommend that you access your discipline specific [library guide](#): the [Nursing and Midwifery Guide](#); [Social Work and Human Services Guide](#).
- We recommend you use EndNote to manage your citations and reference list. More information on how to use EndNote is available at the [CQUniversity Library website](#).
- For information on academic communication please go to the [Academic Learning Centre Moodle site](#). The [Academic Communication section](#) has many helpful resources including information for students with English as a second language.
- Submit a draft to Studiosity prior to submission.
- Submit a draft before the due date to review your Turnitin Similarity Score before making a final submission. [Instructions are available here](#).

Assessment Due Date

Week 12 Friday (4 June 2021) 5:00 pm AEST

Return Date to Students

Exam Week Friday (18 June 2021)

Weighting

50%

Assessment Criteria

Key Criteria	High Distinction 84.5 - 100%	Distinction 74.50 - 84.49%	Credit 64.50 - 74.49%	Pass 49.50 - 64.49%	Fail <49.5%	Fail (content absent) 0%
Presentation (5%)	Excellent presentation of assessment according to requirements. Adheres to prescribed word count.	Well-presented assessment with 1 formatting error. Adheres to prescribed word count.	Good presentation of assessment with 2 formatting errors. Adheres to prescribed word count.	An adequately presented assessment with more than 2 formatting errors. Adheres to prescribed word count.	Poorly presented assessment that does not follow requirements. Deviates significantly from prescribed word count.	Submission is missing most aspects of task. Little evidence of task requirements.

Structure and Design (5%)	Consistently accurate with spelling, grammar and paragraph structure. Organisation and structure clear and easy to follow.	Minimal (1-2) critical errors in spelling, grammar or paragraph structure. Organisation and structure clear and relatively easy to follow.	Few (3-4) critical errors with spelling, grammar or paragraph structure. Organisation and structure appropriate and can be followed.	Several (5-6) critical errors with spelling, grammar or paragraph structure. Organisation and structure apparent although not easy to follow.	Many (>6) errors with spelling, grammar or paragraph structure; organisation and structure lacks clarity and is difficult to follow.	No structure present.
Background, Aims and Conclusion (25%)	The proposal has a clear and succinct background and conclusion. The background provides current and comprehensive contextual information on the topic, the aims are clearly stated and the conclusion summarises the key points.	The proposal has a clear background and conclusion. The background provides current contextual information on the topic, the aims are stated and the conclusion summarises most key points.	The proposal has an adequate background and conclusion. The background provides some contextual information on the topic, the aims are stated and the conclusion summarises some key points.	A background and conclusion have been included. The background attempts to provide contextual information on the topic and the conclusion has a few key points.	The proposal does not have a clear and succinct background and conclusion. The background does not provide adequate contextual information on the topic. The conclusion does not summarise the key points.	No background, aims or conclusion present.
Significance and Impact (10%)	The descriptions of the significance and impact of the project are logical, comprehensive and convincing.	The descriptions of the significance and impact of the project are logical and convincing.	The descriptions of the significance and impact of the project are convincing.	The descriptions of the significance and impact of the project are somewhat convincing.	The descriptions of the significance and impact of the project are not convincing.	No descriptions of the significance or impact of the project are present.
Literature Review (10%)	The literature review is a concise and thorough overview of the key existing research on the topic.	The literature review is a thorough overview of the existing research on the topic.	The literature review is an incomplete overview of the existing research on the topic.	The literature review is a vague overview of the existing research on the topic.	The literature review does not provide an overview of the existing research on the topic.	No literature review present.
Methodology and Methods (25%)	The planned research methodology, methods, data collection and analysis for the project are very clearly outlined and convincingly justified. A very clear plan is included that covers the instruments, sampling type to be used along with the project participants and their recruitment.	The planned research methodology, methods, data collection and analysis for the project are clearly outlined and justified. A clear plan is included that covers the instruments, sampling type to be used along with the project participants and their recruitment.	The planned research methodology, methods, data collection and analysis for the project are mostly outlined and justified. A plan is included that covers the instruments, sampling type to be used along with the project participants and their recruitment.	The planned research methodology, methods, data collection and analysis for the project are adequately outlined and justified. A plan is included that mostly covers the instruments, sampling type to be used along with the project participants and their recruitment.	The planned research methodology, methods, data collection and analysis for the project are not outlined or justified. The plan does not cover the instruments, sampling type to be used, project participants or their recruitment.	No methodology, methods, data collection or analysis plan present.
Ethics (10%)	The ethical considerations relevant to the project are clearly and comprehensively outlined.	The ethical considerations relevant to the project are very clearly outlined.	The ethical considerations relevant to the project are clearly outlined.	The ethical considerations relevant to the project are somewhat outlined.	The ethical considerations relevant to the project are not outlined.	No ethical considerations present.
References (5%)	Expertly integrates quality references to support and reflect all ideas, factual information and quotations.	Consistently integrates quality references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations with 1 or 2 exceptions.	Frequently integrates quality references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 3 or 4 exceptions.	Occasionally integrates references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations, with 5 or 6 exceptions.	Fails to or infrequent attempts (>6 errors) to integrate references to support and reflect ideas, factual information and quotations.	No references present.
Referencing (5%)	Referencing fully adheres to APA style guidelines.	Referencing generally adheres to APA style guidelines with 1-2 consistent errors.	Referencing mostly adheres to APA style guidelines with 3-4 consistent errors.	Referencing occasionally adheres to APA style guidelines with 5-6 consistent errors.	Referencing does not adhere to APA style guidelines with more than 6 consistent errors.	No referencing present.

Referencing Style

- [American Psychological Association 7th Edition \(APA 7th edition\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

Submit your assessment via the unit Moodle site in Microsoft Word format only.

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Construct and justify research question/s and their alignment with qualitative, quantitative or mixed methods research design/s
- Propose and justify the research methods for data collection and analysis to answer your research question/s
- Discuss ethical considerations relevant to your research proposal.

Graduate Attributes

- Knowledge
- Communication

- Cognitive, technical and creative skills
- Research
- Self-management
- Ethical and Professional Responsibility

Academic Integrity Statement

As a CQUniversity student you are expected to act honestly in all aspects of your academic work.

Any assessable work undertaken or submitted for review or assessment must be your own work. Assessable work is any type of work you do to meet the assessment requirements in the unit, including draft work submitted for review and feedback and final work to be assessed.

When you use the ideas, words or data of others in your assessment, you must thoroughly and clearly acknowledge the source of this information by using the correct referencing style for your unit. Using others' work without proper acknowledgement may be considered a form of intellectual dishonesty.

Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in your university study ensures the CQUniversity qualification you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

As a student, you are responsible for reading and following CQUniversity's policies, including the [Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure](#). This policy sets out CQUniversity's expectations of you to act with integrity, examples of academic integrity breaches to avoid, the processes used to address alleged breaches of academic integrity, and potential penalties.

What is a breach of academic integrity?

A breach of academic integrity includes but is not limited to plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion, cheating, contract cheating, and academic misconduct. The Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure defines what these terms mean and gives examples.

Why is academic integrity important?

A breach of academic integrity may result in one or more penalties, including suspension or even expulsion from the University. It can also have negative implications for student visas and future enrolment at CQUniversity or elsewhere. Students who engage in contract cheating also risk being blackmailed by contract cheating services.

Where can I get assistance?

For academic advice and guidance, the [Academic Learning Centre \(ALC\)](#) can support you in becoming confident in completing assessments with integrity and of high standard.

What can you do to act with integrity?



Be Honest

If your assessment task is done by someone else, it would be dishonest of you to claim it as your own



Seek Help

If you are not sure about how to cite or reference in essays, reports etc, then seek help from your lecturer, the library or the Academic Learning Centre (ALC)



Produce Original Work

Originality comes from your ability to read widely, think critically, and apply your gained knowledge to address a question or problem