



SAFE20023 Safety Science Thesis 2

Term 2 - 2018

Profile information current as at 19/05/2024 07:54 pm

All details in this unit profile for SAFE20023 have been officially approved by CQUniversity and represent a learning partnership between the University and you (our student). The information will not be changed unless absolutely necessary and any change will be clearly indicated by an approved correction included in the profile.

General Information

Overview

This unit is the second of two in the Safety Science Thesis suite of units. During this unit you will learn about the process of conducting safety science research by executing your research plan (post ethics approval) from Thesis 1, that is, data collection and analysis, writing and presenting your research findings to a wider audience. You will also be able to engage with your peer researchers as they progress through their research journey.

Details

Career Level: *Postgraduate*

Unit Level: *Level 9*

Credit Points: *12*

Student Contribution Band: *8*

Fraction of Full-Time Student Load: *0.25*

Pre-requisites or Co-requisites

Prerequisite: SAFE20022

Important note: Students enrolled in a subsequent unit who failed their pre-requisite unit, should drop the subsequent unit before the census date or within 10 working days of Fail grade notification. Students who do not drop the unit in this timeframe cannot later drop the unit without academic and financial liability. See details in the [Assessment Policy and Procedure \(Higher Education Coursework\)](#).

Offerings For Term 2 - 2018

- Mixed Mode

Attendance Requirements

All on-campus students are expected to attend scheduled classes – in some units, these classes are identified as a mandatory (pass/fail) component and attendance is compulsory. International students, on a student visa, must maintain a full time study load and meet both attendance and academic progress requirements in each study period (satisfactory attendance for International students is defined as maintaining at least an 80% attendance record).

Residential Schools

This unit has a Compulsory Residential School for distance mode students and the details are:

Click here to see your [Residential School Timetable](#).

Website

[This unit has a website, within the Moodle system, which is available two weeks before the start of term. It is important that you visit your Moodle site throughout the term. Please visit Moodle for more information.](#)

Class and Assessment Overview

Recommended Student Time Commitment

Each 12-credit Postgraduate unit at CQUniversity requires an overall time commitment of an average of 25 hours of study per week, making a total of 300 hours for the unit.

Class Timetable

[Regional Campuses](#)

Bundaberg, Cairns, Emerald, Gladstone, Mackay, Rockhampton, Townsville

[Metropolitan Campuses](#)

Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney

Assessment Overview

1. **Written Assessment**

Weighting: Pass/Fail

2. **Presentation and Written Assessment**

Weighting: Pass/Fail

Assessment Grading

This is a pass/fail (non-graded) unit. To pass the unit, you must pass all of the individual assessment tasks shown in the table above.

CQUniversity Policies

All University policies are available on the [CQUniversity Policy site](#).

You may wish to view these policies:

- Grades and Results Policy
- Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)
- Review of Grade Procedure
- Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure – Domestic Students
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure – International Students
- Student Refund and Credit Balance Policy and Procedure
- Student Feedback – Compliments and Complaints Policy and Procedure
- Information and Communications Technology Acceptable Use Policy and Procedure

This list is not an exhaustive list of all University policies. The full list of University policies are available on the [CQUniversity Policy site](#).

Previous Student Feedback

Feedback, Recommendations and Responses

Every unit is reviewed for enhancement each year. At the most recent review, the following staff and student feedback items were identified and recommendations were made.

Feedback from Student feedback and Coordinator reflection

Feedback

The challenges and timelines associated with research projects can be better managed by ensuring that milestones are met in the previous unit.

Recommendation

Project milestones (including submission of ethics applications) have been embedded into SAFE20022, the unit which precedes this one, to ensure that students are ready to commence their research projects as soon as the term begins.

Feedback from Student feedback

Feedback

Students appreciate the one-on-one nature of this unit and the research supervision process.

Recommendation

Supervision teams have been expanded to include additional staff to ensure that students are well supported on their research journeys.

Unit Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

1. Undertake and write an extensive literature review on a topic of safety science.
2. Conduct research (supported by ethics committee approval) that uses appropriate research methods and data analysis techniques to address research questions.
3. Discuss the implications of the research project for theory and practice in safety science.
4. Demonstrate an in-depth knowledge of a topic in safety science.

This is the second unit of two research thesis units and is designed to complete the foundational work that students carried out in Thesis 1. The two Thesis units are designed to introduce students to the process of conducting research, enabling them to carry out an independent research study. In Thesis 2 the students will continue to work with their allocated supervisor who will support them in the conduct of their research, the undertaking of an extensive literature review and assist in the preparation of a final piece of work which can deliver the student's research findings to a wider audience. Students will also be able to continue to discuss the unit content and their research progress with their fellow peer researchers through Moodle site discussion groups.

Alignment of Learning Outcomes, Assessment and Graduate Attributes

 N/A Level	 Introductory Level	 Intermediate Level	 Graduate Level	 Professional Level	 Advanced Level
---	--	--	--	--	--

Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Learning Outcomes

Assessment Tasks	Learning Outcomes			
	1	2	3	4
1 - Written Assessment - 0%	•			•
2 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 0%		•	•	•

Alignment of Graduate Attributes to Learning Outcomes

Graduate Attributes	Learning Outcomes			
	1	2	3	4
1 - Knowledge	○	○	○	○
2 - Communication	○	○	○	○
3 - Cognitive, technical and creative skills	○	○	○	○
4 - Research	○	○	○	○
5 - Self-management	○	○	○	○
6 - Ethical and Professional Responsibility	○	○	○	○
7 - Leadership	○	○	○	○
8 - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultures				

Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Graduate Attributes

Assessment Tasks	Graduate Attributes							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 - Written Assessment - 0%	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
2 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 0%	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	

Textbooks and Resources

Textbooks

There are no required textbooks.

IT Resources

You will need access to the following IT resources:

- CQUniversity Student Email
- Internet
- Unit Website (Moodle)
- Zoom account (Free)

Referencing Style

All submissions for this unit must use the referencing style: [Harvard \(author-date\)](#)
For further information, see the Assessment Tasks.

Teaching Contacts

Shevaun Dell Unit Coordinator
s.dell@cqu.edu.au

Schedule

Week 1 - 09 Jul 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss any ethics clarifications and project plan execution		

Week 2 - 16 Jul 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss any ethics clarifications and project plan execution		

Week 3 - 23 Jul 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress		

Week 4 - 30 Jul 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress		

Week 5 - 06 Aug 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
--------------	---------	------------------------------

Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress and literature review

Vacation Week - 13 Aug 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Vacation Week		

Week 6 - 20 Aug 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress and literature review		

Week 7 - 27 Aug 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress and literature review		

Week 8 - 03 Sep 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress and literature review Unit Milestone: Submission of Assignment - Comprehensive Literature Review		Comprehensive Literature Review Due: Week 8 Friday (7 Sept 2018) 11:59 pm AEST

Week 9 - 10 Sep 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress		

Week 10 - 17 Sep 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress and final paper		

Week 11 - 24 Sep 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress and final paper		

Week 12 - 01 Oct 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Meeting with supervision team to discuss project progress and final paper Unit Milestone: Submission of Assignment - Research Paper		Research Outcomes Due: Week 12 Friday (5 Oct 2018) 11:59 pm AEST

Review/Exam Week - 08 Oct 2018

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Unit Milestone: Presentation of research outcomes to TSS Student Conference		

Assessment Tasks

1 Comprehensive Literature Review

Assessment Type

Written Assessment

Task Description

In Thesis 1, you explored the literature in your relevant industry/discipline in order to justify your research project. Now you will interrogate the literature further, critically analysing the key themes throughout the literature body with relation to your topic. This is important for understanding how your final research paper will be situated within the body of knowledge for your chosen topic.

As a guide, submissions of approximately 4000 words are more likely to be successful.

Assessment Due Date

Week 8 Friday (7 Sept 2018) 11:59 pm AEST

Return Date to Students

Feedback will be provided in Moodle and during scheduled meetings with the supervision team and unit coordinator

Weighting

Pass/Fail

Assessment Criteria

Your literature review will be assessed on the following criteria:

- Review explores a significant portion of the available body of literature with regard to the chosen topic
- Critical analysis is substantive and literature is not simply introduced and described
- Review follows a narrative which situates the research project within the relevant milieu
- Submission is formatted appropriately for a literature review
- Submission demonstrates Masters-level academic writing skills
- All sources are referenced properly in line with Harvard Referencing Style

Referencing Style

- [Harvard \(author-date\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

Upload your submission for this assignment as a Word document or PDF to the appropriate assessment page on Moodle. Please ensure your name is included in the file name.

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Undertake and write an extensive literature review on a topic of safety science.
- Demonstrate an in-depth knowledge of a topic in safety science.

Graduate Attributes

- Knowledge
- Communication
- Cognitive, technical and creative skills
- Research
- Self-management
- Ethical and Professional Responsibility
- Leadership

2 Research Outcomes

Assessment Type

Presentation and Written Assessment

Task Description

Part A - Research Paper

After you have obtained Ethics Approval, you will execute your research plan from Thesis 1. You will then write up the final results and outcomes of your project in the form of a research paper for publication.

Your final paper will be reviewed by the Unit Coordinator, your supervision team and an additional Reviewer. They will determine whether your submission is of a pass standard, and will provide additional feedback to assist in preparing your paper for publication.

The word count should be appropriate for a journal article submission in your area of interest. You should discuss target journal options with your supervision team, as it will be useful for you to familiarise yourself with those journals' submission requirements.

Part B - Conference Presentation

You will create and deliver a 20-minute presentation on your research project, detailing your journey from original concept to project execution, and explain your results in context. You will present via Zoom to an audience of staff and students at the TSS Student Conference Residential School (9th-11th October).

Assessment Due Date

Week 12 Friday (5 Oct 2018) 11:59 pm AEST

The final research report is due in Week 12. The presentation will be delivered to the TSS Student Conference held at the end of term.

Return Date to Students

Feedback and provisional grades will be provided to students two academic weeks following the due date.

Weighting

Pass/Fail

Assessment Criteria

Part A - Research Paper

Your paper will be assessed on the following criteria:

- Introduction to the topic is given which highlights crucial findings from the literature but which does not simply comprise sections of text copied from previous assessments
- Clear statement of research aims and objectives, and whether they were met
- Each step of the executed research process is clearly explained, including methods and materials
- Results are presented in a suitable format (tables, graphs, etc)
- Results are thoroughly discussed with reference to existing studies, theories and/or practices in the relevant discipline
- Implications of the project and/or emergent recommendations are identified and discussed
- Any relevant survey measures, data collection tools or questionnaires are included as an appendix
- Submission is formatted appropriately for a research paper
- Submission demonstrates Masters-level academic writing skills
- All sources are referenced properly in line with Harvard Referencing Style

Part B - Conference Presentation

Your presentation will be assessed on the following criteria:

- Background/context of the topic given to promote audience understanding, including the gap identified in the extant literature
- Research aims and objectives clearly identified
- Method briefly discussed, including brief justification for its selection
- Key results explained concisely and discussed in context of the relevant discipline
- Implications of the project and/or emergent recommendations are highlighted
- A summative statement is provided for whether aims and objectives of the project were met
- Presentation is well-structured and uses appropriate visual aids
- Appropriate use of supporting sources that are consistent with Harvard referencing style

Referencing Style

- [Harvard \(author-date\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

Upload your submission for this assignment as a Word document or PDF to the appropriate assessment page on Moodle. Please ensure your name is included in the file name.

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Conduct research (supported by ethics committee approval) that uses appropriate research methods and data analysis techniques to address research questions.
- Discuss the implications of the research project for theory and practice in safety science.
- Demonstrate an in-depth knowledge of a topic in safety science.

Graduate Attributes

- Knowledge
- Communication
- Cognitive, technical and creative skills
- Research
- Self-management
- Ethical and Professional Responsibility
- Leadership

Academic Integrity Statement

As a CQUniversity student you are expected to act honestly in all aspects of your academic work.

Any assessable work undertaken or submitted for review or assessment must be your own work. Assessable work is any type of work you do to meet the assessment requirements in the unit, including draft work submitted for review and feedback and final work to be assessed.

When you use the ideas, words or data of others in your assessment, you must thoroughly and clearly acknowledge the source of this information by using the correct referencing style for your unit. Using others' work without proper acknowledgement may be considered a form of intellectual dishonesty.

Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in your university study ensures the CQUniversity qualification you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

As a student, you are responsible for reading and following CQUniversity's policies, including the [Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure](#). This policy sets out CQUniversity's expectations of you to act with integrity, examples of academic integrity breaches to avoid, the processes used to address alleged breaches of academic integrity, and potential penalties.

What is a breach of academic integrity?

A breach of academic integrity includes but is not limited to plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion, cheating, contract cheating, and academic misconduct. The Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure defines what these terms mean and gives examples.

Why is academic integrity important?

A breach of academic integrity may result in one or more penalties, including suspension or even expulsion from the University. It can also have negative implications for student visas and future enrolment at CQUniversity or elsewhere. Students who engage in contract cheating also risk being blackmailed by contract cheating services.

Where can I get assistance?

For academic advice and guidance, the [Academic Learning Centre \(ALC\)](#) can support you in becoming confident in completing assessments with integrity and of high standard.

What can you do to act with integrity?

**Be Honest**

If your assessment task is done by someone else, it would be dishonest of you to claim it as your own

**Seek Help**

If you are not sure about how to cite or reference in essays, reports etc, then seek help from your lecturer, the library or the Academic Learning Centre (ALC)

**Produce Original Work**

Originality comes from your ability to read widely, think critically, and apply your gained knowledge to address a question or problem