

Profile information current as at 12/05/2024 05:45 am

All details in this unit profile for SOWK12010 have been officially approved by CQUniversity and represent a learning partnership between the University and you (our student). The information will not be changed unless absolutely necessary and any change will be clearly indicated by an approved correction included in the profile.

## **General Information**

#### Overview

Students should be able to articulate the mix of philosophical assumptions informing the delivery of human services in Australia pointing to opportunities for change. Students should be able to apply taxonomy of professional knowledge to the relationships between these philosophies, ideologies, and social theories that will contribute to their emerging framework for social work practice. Students should be able to analyse and apply social theories to assist in making sense of individual relationships within societies and select and apply different social work intervention strategies within both meso and macro systems of society.

#### **Details**

Career Level: Undergraduate

Unit Level: Level 2 Credit Points: 6

Student Contribution Band: 10

Fraction of Full-Time Student Load: 0.125

## Pre-requisites or Co-requisites

SOWK11015 Professional Communication in Human Services. or SOWK11012 Introduction to Social Work A and SOWK11013 Introduction to Social Work B.

Important note: Students enrolled in a subsequent unit who failed their pre-requisite unit, should drop the subsequent unit before the census date or within 10 working days of Fail grade notification. Students who do not drop the unit in this timeframe cannot later drop the unit without academic and financial liability. See details in the <a href="Assessment Policy and Procedure">Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)</a>.

# Offerings For Term 2 - 2020

• Online

# Attendance Requirements

All on-campus students are expected to attend scheduled classes – in some units, these classes are identified as a mandatory (pass/fail) component and attendance is compulsory. International students, on a student visa, must maintain a full time study load and meet both attendance and academic progress requirements in each study period (satisfactory attendance for International students is defined as maintaining at least an 80% attendance record).

### Website

This unit has a website, within the Moodle system, which is available two weeks before the start of term. It is important that you visit your Moodle site throughout the term. Please visit Moodle for more information.

## Class and Assessment Overview

#### Recommended Student Time Commitment

Each 6-credit Undergraduate unit at CQUniversity requires an overall time commitment of an average of 12.5 hours of study per week, making a total of 150 hours for the unit.

## Class Timetable

#### **Regional Campuses**

Bundaberg, Cairns, Emerald, Gladstone, Mackay, Rockhampton, Townsville

#### **Metropolitan Campuses**

Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney

### **Assessment Overview**

1. Presentation and Written Assessment

Weighting: 40% 2. **Group Work** Weighting: 10%

3. Written Assessment

Weighting: 50%

## Assessment Grading

This is a graded unit: your overall grade will be calculated from the marks or grades for each assessment task, based on the relative weightings shown in the table above. You must obtain an overall mark for the unit of at least 50%, or an overall grade of 'pass' in order to pass the unit. If any 'pass/fail' tasks are shown in the table above they must also be completed successfully ('pass' grade). You must also meet any minimum mark requirements specified for a particular assessment task, as detailed in the 'assessment task' section (note that in some instances, the minimum mark for a task may be greater than 50%). Consult the <u>University's Grades and Results Policy</u> for more details of interim results and final grades.

# **CQUniversity Policies**

#### All University policies are available on the CQUniversity Policy site.

You may wish to view these policies:

- Grades and Results Policy
- Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)
- Review of Grade Procedure
- Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure Domestic Students
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure International Students
- Student Refund and Credit Balance Policy and Procedure
- Student Feedback Compliments and Complaints Policy and Procedure
- Information and Communications Technology Acceptable Use Policy and Procedure

This list is not an exhaustive list of all University policies. The full list of University policies are available on the <u>CQUniversity Policy site</u>.

## Previous Student Feedback

## Feedback, Recommendations and Responses

Every unit is reviewed for enhancement each year. At the most recent review, the following staff and student feedback items were identified and recommendations were made.

## Feedback from Several students shared this feedback

#### **Feedback**

Responding to students in a time appropriate manner

#### Recommendation

Attention needs to be given so that students are responded to promptly so their studies are not interrupted

#### Feedback from Several students shared this feedback

#### Feedback

More detail about Problem Based Learning needs to be given to students

#### Recommendation

More detail will be provided in Moodle about problem-based learning so that students are clear on what is expected from them

## **Unit Learning Outcomes**

## On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

- 1. Compare the assumptions of influential philosophies and interpret how these different ideas inform specific theories that determine the delivery of human services and the practice of social work.
- 2. Apply these theories to case scenarios in social work practice using an analysis informed by the values of the profession.
- 3. Compare the philosophies, ideologies, and theories of social work and integrate then into your emerging professional practice framework.
- 4. Analyse your own performance based on feedback drawn from your involvement in professional learning contexts.

# Alignment of Learning Outcomes, Assessment and Graduate Attributes

| _ | N/A<br>Level | • | Introductory<br>Level | • | Intermediate<br>Level | • | Graduate<br>Level | 0 | Professional<br>Level | 0 | Advanced<br>Level |
|---|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------|
|   |              |   |                       |   | l                     |   |                   |   | 4                     |   |                   |

# Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Learning Outcomes

| Assessment Tasks                              | Learning Outcomes |   |   |   |  |  |
|---|-------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|   | 1                 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| 1 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 40% | •                 | • | • |   |  |  |
| 2 - Group Work - 10%                          | •                 | • |   | • |  |  |
| 3 - Written Assessment - 50%                  | •                 | • | • |   |  |  |

Alignment of Graduate Attributes to Learning Outcomes

| Graduate Attributes                                 |         |      |        | Learning Outcomes |    |   |   |   |   |    |
|---|---------|------|--------|-------------------|----|---|---|---|---|----|
|   |         |      |        | 1                 |    | 2 |   | 3 |   | 4  |
| 1 - Communication                                   |         |      |        | •                 |    | • |   | • |   | •  |
| 2 - Problem Solving                                 |         |      |        | •                 |    | • |   | • |   | •  |
| 3 - Critical Thinking                               |         |      |        | •                 |    | • |   | • |   | •  |
| 4 - Information Literacy                            |         |      |        | •                 |    |   |   |   |   |    |
| 5 - Team Work                                       |         |      |        | •                 |    | • |   |   |   |    |
| 6 - Information Technology Competence               |         |      |        |                   |    |   |   |   |   |    |
| 7 - Cross Cultural Competence                       |         |      |        | •                 |    | • |   | • |   |    |
| 8 - Ethical practice                                |         |      |        | •                 |    | • |   | • |   | •  |
| 9 - Social Innovation                               |         |      |        |                   |    |   |   |   |   |    |
| 10 - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultures |         |      |        |                   |    |   |   |   |   |    |
| Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Graduat            | e Attri | but  | es     |                   |    |   |   |   |   |    |
| Assessment Tasks                                    | Gra     | duat | e Attı | ribute            | es |   |   |   |   |    |
|   | 1       | 2    | 3      | 4                 | 5  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 - Presentation and Written Assessment - 40%       | •       | _    | •      | •                 | •  | • | • | • |   |    |
| 2 - Group Work - 10%                                | •       | ٠    | •      |                   | •  | ٠ | • | • |   |    |
| 3 - Written Assessment - 50%                        | •       | •    | •      | •                 |    | • | • | • |   |    |

# Textbooks and Resources

## **Textbooks**

SOWK12010

#### **Prescribed**

**Social Work: From Theory to Practice** 

Edition: 3rd (2018)

Authors: Connolly, M and Harms, L Cambridge University Press Melbourne , Victoria , Australia

Binding: Paperback

View textbooks at the CQUniversity Bookshop

### IT Resources

## You will need access to the following IT resources:

- CQUniversity Student Email
- Internet
- Unit Website (Moodle)
- ZOOM software
- ZOOM sessions

# Referencing Style

All submissions for this unit must use the referencing style: <u>Harvard (author-date)</u> For further information, see the Assessment Tasks.

# **Teaching Contacts**

Paloma Cesare Unit Coordinator

p.cesare@cqu.edu.au

## Schedule

## Week 1 - 13 Jul 2020

Module/Topic

Chapter

**Events and Submissions/Topic** 

#### Flexible learning

This unit is based on the philosophy of Community-Focused Learning or problem-based learning - elaborated on in the animation titled the Spiral of Life Long Learning located at Moodle. This unit does not have a weekly study schedule. The unit comprises four modules based on Connolly and Harms (2019). Several theories that will inform your assessment tasks are presented within the following modules.

Mountain moving theories **Systems theories** Story telling theories Reflective and Reflexive practice

Please refer to readings and activities on Moodle site.

As the readings and resources are contained within each of these four modules you can move through them with your own flexible study schedule. The Spiral of Life Long Learning is intended to help you with this planning also.

### Week 2 - 20 Jul 2020

Chapter **Events and Submissions/Topic** Module/Topic

## **Module 1** (allow 3 weeks for learning)

### **Mountain Moving Theories**

Radical theory

Critical theory

Intersectionality

Feminism

 Anti-oppressive and rights based practice

Please refer to readings and activities on Moodle site.

#### Week 3 - 27 Jul 2020

Module/Topic Chapter **Events and Submissions/Topic** 

**Module 2** (allow 3 weeks for learning) Systems theory

· Overview of systems theories

Please refer to readings and activities on Moodle site.

#### Week 4 - 03 Aug 2020

Module/Topic Chapter **Events and Submissions/Topic** 

Module 3 (allow 3 weeks for learning)

**Story Telling Theories** 

· Post modernism Please refer to readings and activities

 Narrative on Moodle site.

Strengths

Yarning

#### Week 5 - 10 Aug 2020

Module/Topic Chapter **Events and Submissions/Topic** 

Module 4 (allow 3 weeks for learning) Please refer to readings and activities

Reflective and reflective practice

on Moodle site.

### Vacation Week - 17 Aug 2020

Module/Topic Chapter **Events and Submissions/Topic** 

#### Week 6 - 24 Aug 2020

Module/Topic Chapter **Events and Submissions/Topic** 

| Week 7 - 31 Aug 2020        |         |   |
|-----------------------------|---------|---|
| Module/Topic                | Chapter | <b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>   |
|                             |         | <b>In-service presentation materials</b><br>Due: Week 7 Monday (31 Aug 2020)<br>5:00 pm AEST  |
| Week 8 - 07 Sep 2020        |         |   |
| Module/Topic                | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic  |
| Week 9 - 14 Sep 2020        |         |   |
| Module/Topic                | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic  |
| Week 10 - 21 Sep 2020       |         |   |
| Module/Topic                | Chapter | <b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>   |
| Week 11 - 28 Sep 2020       |         |   |
| Module/Topic                | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic  |
| Week 12 - 05 Oct 2020       |         |   |
| Module/Topic                | Chapter | <b>Events and Submissions/Topic</b>   |
|                             |         | Group participation and contributions Due: Week 12 Friday (9 Oct 2020) 5:00 pm AEST Community practice proposal Due: Week 12 Friday (9 Oct 2020) 5:00 pm AEST |
| Review/Exam Week - 12 Oct 2 | 2020    |   |
| Module/Topic                | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic  |
| Exam Week - 19 Oct 2020     |         |   |
| Module/Topic                | Chapter | Events and Submissions/Topic  |

## **Assessment Tasks**

# 1 In-service presentation materials

#### **Assessment Type**

Presentation and Written Assessment

## **Task Description**

#### **Task Focus**

Your group will examine how three (3) theories of change that inform social workers' understanding of individuals' relationships with their social environment, that is, groups, families, neighbourhoods, or the social organisation of Australian society. You may choose any or all of the latter social systems as examples to demonstrate your understanding of three (3) theories presented in Chapters 3 and 7 (Connolly & Harms 2019) and Modules 1 and 2 at Moodle. The reflective and reflexive component will be informed by Chapter 8 Connolly and Harms 2019) and Module 4 at Moodle.

This assessment task requires you to work in a collaborative learning group resulting in the creation of diverse and creative materials intended to inform a workshop presentation within the parameters below. This assessment task requires the presentation of materials only. There is no oral presentation required.

You will be randomly allocated into collaborative learning groups by the end of Week 2.

#### Your role

Imagine you belong to a network of social workers dispersed geographically and employed by a multi-regional organisation of your choosing, for example, Anglicare, Community Mental Health, Centrelink, the Australian Defence Force or a Child Safety Service Centre.

Your organisational context is a multi-disciplinary team delivering a service of your choosing. In order to raise the awareness of social work's theoretical perspectives, as a social work network you will be presenting at the next inservice (professional development) event. This will involve the attendance of colleagues from at least five disciplinary backgrounds including: management; community nurses; occupational therapists; welfare workers; and psychologists. It will be delivered face to face and with the use of technology depending on your locations.

Most of the modes of service delivery are based on work with individuals however social work theoretical analysis extends beyond theories that just explain individuals' behaviours. Your overall task in this in-service session is to raise your colleagues' awareness of social work's theoretical eclecticism in order to understand the relationships between individuals' lives and their relationships with their physical and social environments.

#### Performance/product (2000 words -10% above or below)

Overall, this written component should be designed with the intent that it can be used again by other staff in future presentations.

Things to consider:

- · Use the Spiral of Life Long Learning and discussion at Moodle to formulate your work/study plan, group meetings, and content.
- · Locate and agree on a regional organisational context, and service within that organisation, that provides the best base for this assessment task.
- · With theory as your starting point and focus choose three theories that help interpret, explain or predict the stressors individual clients experience and the relationships of those stressors with their physical and social environments.
- · Briefly examine the history of the theories and how/why they became part of the social work profession's eclectic theoretical mix.
- $\cdot$  Use examples to demonstrate and communicate your understanding of the concepts that comprise the theories chosen
- $\cdot$  Identify the strengths and challenges of the chosen theories in relation to the organisational context and service selected.
- · Utilise creativity to engage with your audience.
- · One submission per group only is required. Please ensure the names of all people who have contributed to the submission are included on the cover sheet.

#### **Assessment Due Date**

Week 7 Monday (31 Aug 2020) 5:00 pm AEST

#### **Return Date to Students**

Week 9 Monday (14 Sept 2020)

#### Weighting

40%

#### **Assessment Criteria**

| - · ·   | Grade   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Criteria  | HD  | D   | C  | Р  | F   |  |  |  |
| Ability to apply the theories selected to the organisation, service, and client population selected in order to demonstrate understanding with the use of examples    | Advanced application                                | Very good application                                     | Good application                                     | Adequate application                               | Inadequate<br>application                                     |  |  |  |
| 8 marks   |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Ability to explain the historical<br>process that influenced the<br>theories' eclectic integration into<br>social work practice                                       | Comprehensive explanation                           | Very good explanation                                     | Good explanation                                     | Adequate explanation                               | Inadequate explanation  |  |  |  |
| 8 marks   |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Ability to discuss the strengths and challenges of the chosen theories for their applicability to the organisation, service, and client population selected.  8 marks | Comprehensive discussion                            | Very good<br>discussion                                   | Good discussion                                      | Adequate discussion                                | Inadequate<br>discussion                                      |  |  |  |
| Materials are original and<br>creative in design in order to<br>engage the audience informed by<br>the presentation context.<br>5 marks                               | Excellent originality and creativity for engagement | Very good originality<br>and creativity for<br>engagement | Good originality<br>and creativity for<br>engagement | Adequate originality and creativity for engagement | Inadequate<br>originality and<br>creativity for<br>engagement |  |  |  |
| Quality and thoroughness of<br>reflective and reflexive practice  | High quality reflective and reflexive practice      | Quality reflective and reflexive                          | Good reflective and reflexive practice               | Adequate reflective and reflexive                  | Poor reflective and reflexive practice                        |  |  |  |
| 6 marks   |   | practice  | ,  | practice   | remaining processor   |  |  |  |
| Adherence to word limit Quality,<br>breadth, and relevance of<br>independent research   |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Spelling, grammar, and clarity of written communication   |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Adherence to the Harvard CQU referencing.<br>5 marks  |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Excellent in all aspects                            | Very good in all aspects                                  | Good in all aspects                                  | Adequate in all aspects                            | Inadequate in all aspects                                     |  |  |  |

## **Referencing Style**

• Harvard (author-date)

## Submission

Online Group

#### **Submission Instructions**

Provide reference list to unit co-ordinator at beginning of presentation.

## **Learning Outcomes Assessed**

• Compare the assumptions of influential philosophies and interpret how these different ideas inform specific theories that determine the delivery of human services and the practice of social work.

- Apply these theories to case scenarios in social work practice using an analysis informed by the values of the profession.
- Compare the philosophies, ideologies, and theories of social work and integrate then into your emerging professional practice framework.

#### **Graduate Attributes**

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Team Work
- Information Technology Competence
- Cross Cultural Competence
- Ethical practice

## 2 Group participation and contributions

#### **Assessment Type**

Group Work

#### **Task Description**

This assessment requires that you undertake a self assessment and a peer assessment of participation and contribution to the group learning assessment task based on the criteria below. The link to the on-line survey is sent to your student email account. You will receive one survey Friday, Week 4 in order to receive formative feedback from your peers. This scoring will not form the 10% weighting for this assessment task. You will receive a second survey one week after the submission of Assessment 1. The collation of average scores from this second survey will form the basis of the 10% weighting.

NB Unit co-ordinators have access to each student's name and their scoring. However, you will not have access to the identity of group members or their individual scoring. You are not evaluating the accuracy of ideas or resources brought by individual members to the assessment you are evaluating participation and contribution.

### **Assessment Due Date**

Week 12 Friday (9 Oct 2020) 5:00 pm AEST

#### **Return Date to Students**

Exam Week Friday (23 Oct 2020)

#### Weighting

10%

#### **Assessment Criteria**

The online feedback system will allow you to assess group members on the following participation criteria. Only scores based on the Likert scales will be forwarded to student email accounts but please consider providing additional comments in that section to justice your assessment of group member participation:

- · Was dependable in attending group meetings (Likert Scale)
- · Willingly accepted assigned tasks (Likert Scale)
- · Contributed positively to group discussions (Likert Scale)
- · Completed work on time or made alternative arrangements (Likert Scale)
- · Helped others with their work when needed (Likert Scale)
- · Did work accurately and completely (Likert Scale)

- · Contributed their fair share of the work (Likert Scale)
- · Worked well with other group members (Likert Scale)
- · Overall was a valuable member of the team (Likert Scale)
- · The group member listens to others (Likert Scale)
- · Additional comments (Comment)

#### **Referencing Style**

• Harvard (author-date)

#### Submission

Online

#### **Learning Outcomes Assessed**

- Compare the assumptions of influential philosophies and interpret how these different ideas inform specific theories that determine the delivery of human services and the practice of social work.
- Apply these theories to case scenarios in social work practice using an analysis informed by the values of the profession.
- Analyse your own performance based on feedback drawn from your involvement in professional learning contexts.

#### **Graduate Attributes**

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Team Work
- Information Technology Competence
- Cross Cultural Competence
- Ethical practice

# 3 Community practice proposal

#### **Assessment Type**

Written Assessment

#### **Task Description**

Using story-telling theories to raise awareness in community and begin community change (Chapter 6 Connolly and Harms 2019 and Module 3 at Moodle)

#### **Focus statement**

The theories presented in this unit are pitched at the social organsiation of society. A 'community' is one of several systems of social work concern and practice. The focus of this assessment task is the use of theory to inform practice.

#### Your role

Imagine you are in the role of a community development officer with your local Council. Your Community Programs Manager has asked for you to submit documentation for its possible inclusion in grant funding with the Federal government.

Performance/product (Written assessment 2000 words 10% above or below)

This assessment item requires you to:

- •Examine the community in which you live and identify one of the most prominent social issues impacting adversely on people's well being.
- •Use a diverse range of independent sources to describe the nature and extent of the social issue of concern. See in particular the 'Learning' phase of the Spiral of Life Long Learning.
- •With the goal of raising your community's awareness of the social issue of concern, and to begin a process of community engagement and change, use the Story-Telling theories presented in this unit (that is, Narrative) to inform and design a sequence of four community events.

### Things to consider:

- \* The design of the four community events must be supported with theoretical concepts.
- \* Be mindful of the presentation of theoretical concepts to an audience unfamiliar with those perspectives and the ways in which language and concepts need to be conveyed.
- \* Locate social work interventions in the published literature or reports by reputable organisations in Australia where community awareness raising, engagement and change has been undertaken around the same or similar social issues.
- \* Consider the diversity of your local community members when processing information/language, learning, and change.

\* Consider how you would address how the concerns selected might be viewed by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and people from culturally and linguistically diverse heritage.

#### **Assessment Due Date**

Week 12 Friday (9 Oct 2020) 5:00 pm AEST

#### **Return Date to Students**

Exam Week Friday (23 Oct 2020)

#### Weighting

50%

#### **Assessment Criteria**

| CRITERIA  | HD  | D   | С  | P   | F   |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| Identifies diverse<br>evidence of needs<br>communicated in<br>community.  | Very clearly; with diverse and comprehensive evidence   | Clearly; with diverse evidence  | Adequately; with sufficient diversity  | Adequately; with diverse but limited evidence   | Poorly; with evidence poor or absent  |
| 15 marks  |   |   |  |   |   |
| Applies the concepts of<br>story-telling theories<br>to guide project's<br>design.<br>15 marks  | Consistently; with comprehensive examination and detail of links between theories and project | Frequently; with<br>comprehensive<br>examination and detail of<br>links between<br>theories and project | Occasionally; with some examination and detail of links between theories and project | Occasionally; with limited examination and detail of links between theories and project | Rarely; with very limited<br>examination and detail<br>of links between<br>theories and project |
| Integrates social work<br>values with story-<br>telling theories and the<br>project's design.<br>5 marks  | Articulate integration of social work values throughout plan                                  | Articulate discussion of social work values lacking integration   | Sound discussion of social work values lacking integration                           | Occasional reference to social work values  | Poor or absent<br>discussion of social work<br>values   |
| Demonstrates inclusion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and perspectives.  | Very comprehensive inclusion  | Comprehension inclusion   | Sound inclusion  | Adequate inclusion  | Poor inclusion  |
| 5 marks   |   |   |  |   |   |
| Demonstrates inclusion of culturally and linguistically diverse perspectives. 5 marks   | Very comprehensive inclusion  | Comprehension inclusion   | Sound inclusion  | Adequate inclusion  | Poor inclusion  |
| Evidence of broad independent research with scholarly balance between academic literature and on-line resources. Spelling, grammar, and clarity of written communication. Adherence to word limit. Adherence to the Harvard Author-Date system of referencing provided at Moodle. 5 marks | Excellent in all aspects  | Very good in all aspects  | Good in all aspects  | Adequate in all aspects   | Inadequate in all aspects   |

#### **Referencing Style**

• Harvard (author-date)

## **Submission**

Online

#### **Submission Instructions**

Please create your own coversheet providing your name, student number, and word count excluding reference list and appendices. Ten percent above or below the specified wordage is acceptable.

#### **Learning Outcomes Assessed**

- Compare the assumptions of influential philosophies and interpret how these different ideas inform specific theories that determine the delivery of human services and the practice of social work.
- Apply these theories to case scenarios in social work practice using an analysis informed by the values of the profession.
- Compare the philosophies, ideologies, and theories of social work and integrate then into your emerging professional practice framework.

#### **Graduate Attributes**

- Communication
- Problem Solving

- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Information Technology Competence
- Cross Cultural Competence
- Ethical practice

# **Academic Integrity Statement**

As a CQUniversity student you are expected to act honestly in all aspects of your academic work.

Any assessable work undertaken or submitted for review or assessment must be your own work. Assessable work is any type of work you do to meet the assessment requirements in the unit, including draft work submitted for review and feedback and final work to be assessed.

When you use the ideas, words or data of others in your assessment, you must thoroughly and clearly acknowledge the source of this information by using the correct referencing style for your unit. Using others' work without proper acknowledgement may be considered a form of intellectual dishonesty.

Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in your university study ensures the CQUniversity qualification you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

As a student, you are responsible for reading and following CQUniversity's policies, including the **Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure**. This policy sets out CQUniversity's expectations of you to act with integrity, examples of academic integrity breaches to avoid, the processes used to address alleged breaches of academic integrity, and potential penalties.

#### What is a breach of academic integrity?

A breach of academic integrity includes but is not limited to plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion, cheating, contract cheating, and academic misconduct. The Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure defines what these terms mean and gives examples.

## Why is academic integrity important?

A breach of academic integrity may result in one or more penalties, including suspension or even expulsion from the University. It can also have negative implications for student visas and future enrolment at CQUniversity or elsewhere. Students who engage in contract cheating also risk being blackmailed by contract cheating services.

## Where can I get assistance?

For academic advice and guidance, the <u>Academic Learning Centre (ALC)</u> can support you in becoming confident in completing assessments with integrity and of high standard.

What can you do to act with integrity?



#### **Be Honest**

If your assessment task is done by someone else, it would be dishonest of you to claim it as your own



## Seek Help

If you are not sure about how to cite or reference in essays, reports etc, then seek help from your lecturer, the library or the Academic Learning Centre (ALC)



## **Produce Original Work**

Originality comes from your ability to read widely, think critically, and apply your gained knowledge to address a question or problem