



SOWK14006 Professional Leadership in Human Services

Term 1 - 2020

Profile information current as at 20/04/2024 06:46 am

All details in this unit profile for SOWK14006 have been officially approved by CQUniversity and represent a learning partnership between the University and you (our student). The information will not be changed unless absolutely necessary and any change will be clearly indicated by an approved correction included in the profile.

General Information

Overview

This unit provides students with an overview of the ideas and practices of contemporary management and leadership and relates these to social work practice. Students should be able to critically analyse the role of social worker as a manager, evaluate management and leadership approaches, and explore alternative leadership strategies to assist in the integration of social work values and ethics alongside the role of the manager and specific agency requirements for the delivery of services. Students should be able to propose leadership models appropriate to social work practice contexts and provide relevant strategies to promote social work values and ethics, and collaborative leadership. Students will have opportunities in this unit to integrate their learning with experiences in the workplace or field education.

Details

Career Level: *Undergraduate*

Unit Level: *Level 4*

Credit Points: 6

Student Contribution Band: 10

Fraction of Full-Time Student Load: 0.125

Pre-requisites or Co-requisites

Successful completion of 48 credit points in any course and ability to draw on experience from field placement or work in human services.

Important note: Students enrolled in a subsequent unit who failed their pre-requisite unit, should drop the subsequent unit before the census date or within 10 working days of Fail grade notification. Students who do not drop the unit in this timeframe cannot later drop the unit without academic and financial liability. See details in the [Assessment Policy and Procedure \(Higher Education Coursework\)](#).

Offerings For Term 1 - 2020

- Online

Attendance Requirements

All on-campus students are expected to attend scheduled classes – in some units, these classes are identified as a mandatory (pass/fail) component and attendance is compulsory. International students, on a student visa, must maintain a full time study load and meet both attendance and academic progress requirements in each study period (satisfactory attendance for International students is defined as maintaining at least an 80% attendance record).

Website

[This unit has a website, within the Moodle system, which is available two weeks before the start of term. It is important that you visit your Moodle site throughout the term. Please visit Moodle for more information.](#)

Class and Assessment Overview

Recommended Student Time Commitment

Each 6-credit Undergraduate unit at CQUniversity requires an overall time commitment of an average of 12.5 hours of study per week, making a total of 150 hours for the unit.

Class Timetable

[Regional Campuses](#)

Bundaberg, Cairns, Emerald, Gladstone, Mackay, Rockhampton, Townsville

[Metropolitan Campuses](#)

Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney

Assessment Overview

1. **Written Assessment**

Weighting: 50%

2. **Written Assessment**

Weighting: 50%

Assessment Grading

This is a graded unit: your overall grade will be calculated from the marks or grades for each assessment task, based on the relative weightings shown in the table above. You must obtain an overall mark for the unit of at least 50%, or an overall grade of 'pass' in order to pass the unit. If any 'pass/fail' tasks are shown in the table above they must also be completed successfully ('pass' grade). You must also meet any minimum mark requirements specified for a particular assessment task, as detailed in the 'assessment task' section (note that in some instances, the minimum mark for a task may be greater than 50%). Consult the [University's Grades and Results Policy](#) for more details of interim results and final grades.

CQUniversity Policies

All University policies are available on the [CQUniversity Policy site](#).

You may wish to view these policies:

- Grades and Results Policy
- Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)
- Review of Grade Procedure
- Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure – Domestic Students
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure – International Students
- Student Refund and Credit Balance Policy and Procedure
- Student Feedback – Compliments and Complaints Policy and Procedure
- Information and Communications Technology Acceptable Use Policy and Procedure

This list is not an exhaustive list of all University policies. The full list of University policies are available on the [CQUniversity Policy site](#).

Previous Student Feedback

Feedback, Recommendations and Responses

Every unit is reviewed for enhancement each year. At the most recent review, the following staff and student feedback items were identified and recommendations were made.

Feedback from Have your Say and student emails

Feedback

Students valued the feedback provided in the assessments.

Recommendation

Continue to provide good quality feedback

Feedback from Have your Say

Feedback

Students enjoyed lecturer engagement through the unit and the range of learning materials and activities provided

Recommendation

Continue to actively engage in the unit and provide a range of learning resources and activities to engage students in unit

Unit Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

1. Critically evaluate contemporary approaches to management within organisations where social work is practised including the trends and theories influencing these approaches.
2. Propose an ongoing management plan appropriate to social work practice contexts that promotes the integration of social work values and ethics and collaborative leadership, along with the role of manager/leader and specific agency requirements for service delivery.
3. Critically evaluate the limitations and potential of these proposals.
4. Produce appropriate leadership strategies for different cultural contexts including working in Indigenous contexts.
5. Create a personalised leadership framework appropriate to social work practice for ongoing critical reflection.
6. Critically reflect on leadership qualities and skills and articulate insights gained for future social work practice.

Alignment of Learning Outcomes, Assessment and Graduate Attributes



Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Learning Outcomes

Assessment Tasks	Learning Outcomes					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1 - Written Assessment - 50%	•	•	•	•		
2 - Written Assessment - 50%			•		•	•

Alignment of Graduate Attributes to Learning Outcomes

Graduate Attributes	Learning Outcomes					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1 - Communication	•	•	•	•	•	•
2 - Problem Solving		•		•	•	•
3 - Critical Thinking	•	•	•	•	•	•
4 - Information Literacy	•	•		•		
5 - Team Work						
6 - Information Technology Competence						
7 - Cross Cultural Competence	•	•	•	•	•	•
8 - Ethical practice	•	•	•	•	•	•
9 - Social Innovation						
10 - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultures						

Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Graduate Attributes

Assessment Tasks	Graduate Attributes									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 - Written Assessment - 50%	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		
2 - Written Assessment - 50%	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		

Textbooks and Resources

Textbooks

SOWK14006

Prescribed

Organisations and Management in Social Work : Everyday

Edition: 3rd edn (2016)

Authors: Hughes , Mark & Wearing , Michael

Sage Publications

London , UK

ISBN: 9781473934528

Binding: Paperback

Additional Textbook Information

Paper copies are available at the CQUni Bookshop here: <http://bookshop.cqu.edu.au>. An eBook can be purchased at the publisher's website.

<https://www.footprint.com.au/product-detail.asp?product=9781473998490&SubSection=%279781473934528%27&ParentPage=product-listing.asp?keywords=9781473934528&scope=books&Category=>

[View textbooks at the CQUniversity Bookshop](#)

IT Resources

You will need access to the following IT resources:

- CQUniversity Student Email
- Internet
- Unit Website (Moodle)

Referencing Style

All submissions for this unit must use the referencing style: [Harvard \(author-date\)](#)

For further information, see the Assessment Tasks.

Teaching Contacts

Paloma Cesare Unit Coordinator

p.cesare@cqu.edu.au

Schedule

Week 1 - 09 Mar 2020

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Introduction to leadership	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 1.	Commence weekly activities located in the study schedule on Moodle.

Week 2 - 16 Mar 2020

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Management and leadership in the human services	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 2.	

Week 3 - 23 Mar 2020

Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Leadership, social work and practice contexts.	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 3.	

Week 4 - 30 Mar 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Ethical leadership in human services	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 4.	
Week 5 - 06 Apr 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Leadership and culture	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 5.	
Vacation Week - 13 Apr 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Week 6 - 20 Apr 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Leadership: Theoretical perspectives	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 6.	Contemporary Leadership in Human Services (2000 words) Due: Week 6 Friday (24 Apr 2020) 11:45 pm AEST
Week 7 - 27 Apr 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Leadership, power and influence	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 7.	
Week 8 - 04 May 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Reflective practice for leadership	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 8.	
Week 9 - 11 May 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Leadership and change	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 9.	
Week 10 - 18 May 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Leadership: Relationships and collaboration	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 10.	
Week 11 - 25 May 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Leadership and evidence informed practice	See Moodle - Study Scedule Week 11.	
Week 12 - 01 Jun 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Leadership: Supervision and ongoing professional development	See Moodle - Study Schedule Week 12.	Leadership Framework for Human Services (2000 words) Due: Week 12 Friday (5 June 2020) 11:45 pm AEST
Review/Exam Week - 08 Jun 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Exam Week - 15 Jun 2020		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic

Assessment Tasks

1 Contemporary Leadership in Human Services (2000 words)

Assessment Type

Written Assessment

Task Description

In this assessment you are required to consider managerial trends in relation to your field placement experience in Year 3 to address the assessment task. You are required to address the following:

- Critically evaluate contemporary trends of managerial approaches to human service organisations that includes marketization, managerialism and governance.
- Reflect on your field placement experience and critically evaluate how these contemporary approaches to management impacted on the service users, social workers and managers and team leaders within the organisation. Provide examples from field placement to demonstrate an understanding.
- Critically evaluate the field placement experience and any ethical issues that arose for social work practice according to the *AASW Code of Ethics* (2010).
- Critically evaluate the opportunities to promote social work values and ethics within the constraints of managerial approaches to human service organisations.

You are encouraged to use examples from your your placement experience to demonstrate your knowledge and inform your analysis.

Assessment Due Date

Week 6 Friday (24 Apr 2020) 11:45 pm AEST

Return Date to Students

Week 8 Friday (8 May 2020)

Uploaded online through Moodle

Weighting

50%

Assessment Criteria

Criteria	Grade				
	HD	D	C	P	F
Critically evaluates how governance impacts on management in human service organisations and discusses the implications of these trends on social work practice. 7 marks	Advanced evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of governance and the implications for social workers	Very good evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of governance and the implications for social workers	Good evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of governance and the implications for social workers	Adequate or basic evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of governance and the implications for social workers	Inadequate or inappropriate evaluation of governance
Critically evaluates how markets impact on management in human service organisations and discusses the implications of these trends on social work practice. 7 marks	Advanced analysis and evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of markets and the implications for social workers	Very good analysis and evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of markets and the implications for social workers	Good analysis and evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of markets and the implications for social workers	Adequate or basic evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement and markets and the implications for social workers	Inadequate or inappropriate evaluation of markets

<p>Critically evaluates how managerialism impacts on management in human service organisations and discusses the implication of these trends on social work practice.</p>	<p>Advanced analysis and evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of managerialism and the implications for social work</p>	<p>Very good analysis and evaluation and application of knowledge from field placement of managerialism and the implications for social workers</p>	<p>Good analysis and evaluation of managerialism in human service organisations and discussed the implication of these trends for social workers</p>	<p>Adequate or basic evaluation of managerialism in human service organisations and the implications for social workers</p>	<p>Inadequate or inappropriate evaluation of managerialism</p>
<p>7 marks</p>					
<p>Provides appropriate and relevant examples from field placement to highlight the management approaches used in the human service organisation during field placement.</p>	<p>Inclusion of advanced examples from field placement that demonstrate a high level of understanding of management approaches used in the human service organisation during field placement</p>	<p>Inclusion of very good examples from field placement that demonstrate a very good understanding of management approaches used in the human service organisation during field placement</p>	<p>Inclusion of good examples from field placement that demonstrate a good understanding of management approaches used in the human service organisation during field placement</p>	<p>Inclusion of adequate or basic examples from field placement that demonstrate a good understanding of management approaches used in the human service organisation during placement</p>	<p>Inadequate or inappropriate inclusion of examples from field placement to evaluate</p>
<p>7 marks</p>					
<p>Critically evaluates the social work values and ethics that were challenged during field placement experience a result of contemporary management approaches.</p>	<p>Advanced analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arose during placement with reference to the relevant sections of the AASW Code of Ethics</p>	<p>Very good analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arose during placement with reference to the relevant sections of the AASW Code of Ethics</p>	<p>Good analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arose during placement with reference to the relevant sections of the AASW Code of Ethics</p>	<p>Adequate or basic evaluation of the ethical issues that arose during placement with reference to the relevant sections of the AASW Code of Ethics</p>	<p>Inadequate or inappropriate evaluation</p>
<p>7 marks</p>					
<p>Identifies opportunities to promote social work values and ethics within the constraints of managerial approaches.</p>	<p>Advanced analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arise as a result of managerial trends and the strategies to manage these ethical issues</p>	<p>Very good analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arise as a result of managerial trends and the strategies to manage these ethical issues</p>	<p>Good analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arise as a result of managerial trends and the strategies to manage these ethical issues</p>	<p>Adequate or basic evaluation of the ethical issues that arise as a result of managerial trends and the strategies to manage these ethical issues</p>	<p>Inadequate or inappropriate evaluation of the ethical issues that arise as a result of managerial trends and the strategies to manage these ethical issues</p>
<p>8 marks</p>					

Demonstrates synthesis and integration of literature, strength and logic of argument, correct spelling (Macquarie) and punctuation with appropriate citation and referencing (Harvard).	Outstanding written expression with evidence of superior critical analysis skills and widely researched concepts sourced from academic literature. No grammatical, spelling or referencing errors. Within the word limit.	Very good written expression with evidence of substantial critical analysis skills and widely researched concepts sourced from credible literature. Grammatical, spelling and referencing accuracy. Within the word limit.	Good written expression with evidence of good critical analysis skills and a broad range of literature utilised. Minor grammatical, spelling and referencing errors. Within the word limit.	Adequate written expression with acceptable grammar and spelling, and basic level of referencing. Within the word limit. Evidence of adequate or basic analysis of literature used.	Inadequate or inappropriate written expression; inadequate or inappropriate literature review. Has not remained within the word limit.
7 marks					

Referencing Style

- [Harvard \(author-date\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

Online through Moodle

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Critically evaluate contemporary approaches to management within organisations where social work is practised including the trends and theories influencing these approaches.
- Propose an ongoing management plan appropriate to social work practice contexts that promotes the integration of social work values and ethics and collaborative leadership, along with the role of manager/leader and specific agency requirements for service delivery.
- Critically evaluate the limitations and potential of these proposals.
- Produce appropriate leadership strategies for different cultural contexts including working in Indigenous contexts.

Graduate Attributes

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Team Work
- Cross Cultural Competence
- Ethical practice

2 Leadership Framework for Human Services (2000 words)

Assessment Type

Written Assessment

Task Description

In this assessment you are required to demonstrate your knowledge of leadership theories; develop a leadership approach; and critically analyse and evaluate its strengths and limitations. You are required to identify an example from your field placement experience and demonstrate the application of your leadership approach to the situation. You may have observed the situation or been directly involved in the situation. Your response is hypothetical and is based on how you might respond using leadership theories and approaches from the insights you have gained during the unit. It is important to note that your knowledge and skills are emerging and that your proposed leadership approach may be something that you are working towards rather than are able to do, i.e. manage conflict.

Examples:

A client was discharged from a mental health care unit due to bed pressures rather than the mental health care needs of the client. The client was suicidal and did not have housing or support in the community.

You undertake an intake assessment with a social work supervisor while on placement at child protection. The supervisor is aggressive towards the clients and threatens to remove the children if the parents do not stop taking drugs. The supervisor does this in front of the children.

In this assessment you are required to:

- Select a Reflective-Pluralist leadership theory or approach and a Rational-Objective leadership theory or approach and discuss the differences and similarities. Critically analyse and compare these theories/approaches and evaluate the usefulness and relevancy of each to your field placement scenario. Consider the potentials and limitations of these theories in relation to the field placement scenario.
- Critically evaluate the appropriateness of each theory or approach when working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians.
- Apply knowledge of social work values and ethics in your leadership approach and provide examples of how you would do this.

Assessment Due Date

Week 12 Friday (5 June 2020) 11:45 pm AEST

Return Date to Students

Monday 22nd June

Weighting

50%

Assessment Criteria

Criteria	Grade				
	HD	D	C	P	F
Identifies an appropriate field placement example to examine a leadership approach. 7 marks	Complex example provided	Very good example provided	Good example provided	Adequate or basic example provided	Inadequate or inappropriate example provided
Critically evaluates and compare two leadership theories/approaches and the usefulness of the leadership approaches to the field placement experience. 8 marks	Advanced analysis and evaluation of leadership approaches in relation to the field placement experience	Very good analysis and evaluation of leadership approaches in relation to the field placement experience	Good analysis and evaluation of leadership approaches in relation to the field placement experience.	Adequate or basic evaluation of leadership approaches in relation to the field placement experience.	Inadequate or inappropriate evaluation of leadership approaches in relation to the field placement experience
Critically evaluates the usefulness of the leadership approaches when working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander contexts. 7 marks	Advanced analysis and evaluation of the leadership approaches when working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander contexts	Very good analysis and evaluation of the leadership approaches when working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander contexts	Good analysis and evaluation of the leadership approaches when working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander contexts	Adequate or basic evaluation of the leadership approaches when working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander contexts	Inadequate or inappropriate evaluation of the leadership approaches when working with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander contexts
Critically evaluates the usefulness and relevance of each leadership/theory approach to the field placement experience, human services and social work practice. 7 marks	Advanced analysis and evaluation that demonstrates unique insights	Very good analysis and evaluation that demonstrates very good insights	Good analysis and evaluation that demonstrates good insights	Adequate or basic evaluation that demonstrates adequate or basic insights	Inadequate or inappropriate with minimal or no insights

<p>Demonstrates the integration of social work values and ethics into the leadership plan.</p> <p>7 marks</p>	<p>Advanced analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arise and the strategies to manage these ethical issues with reference to the relevant sections of the AASW Code of Ethics</p>	<p>Very good analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arise and the strategies to manage these ethical issues with reference to the relevant sections of the AASW Code of Ethics</p>	<p>Good analysis and evaluation of the ethical issues that arise and the strategies to manage these ethical issues with reference to the relevant sections of the AASW Code of Ethics</p>	<p>Adequate or basic evaluation of the ethical issues that and the strategies to manage these ethical issues with reference to the relevant sections of the AASW Code of Ethics</p>	<p>Inadequate or inappropriate evaluation of the ethical issues that arise and the strategies to manage these ethical issues</p>
<p>Demonstrates synthesis and integration of literature, strength and logic of argument, correct spelling (Macquarie) and punctuation with appropriate citation and referencing (Harvard).</p> <p>7 marks</p>	<p>Outstanding written expression with evidence of superior critical analysis skills and widely researched concepts sourced from academic literature. No grammatical, spelling or referencing errors. Within the word limit.</p>	<p>Very good written expression with evidence of substantial critical analysis skills and widely researched concepts sourced from credible literature. Grammatical, spelling and referencing accuracy. Within the word limit.</p>	<p>Good written expression with evidence of good critical analysis skills and a broad range of literature utilised. Minor grammatical, spelling and referencing errors. Within the word limit.</p>	<p>Adequate written expression with acceptable grammar and spelling, and basic level of referencing. Within the word limit. Evidence of adequate or basic analysis of literature used.</p>	<p>Inadequate or inappropriate written expression; inadequate or inappropriate literature review. Has not remained within the word limit.</p>

Referencing Style

- [Harvard \(author-date\)](#)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

Online through Moodle

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Critically evaluate the limitations and potential of these proposals.
- Create a personalised leadership framework appropriate to social work practice for ongoing critical reflection.
- Critically reflect on leadership qualities and skills and articulate insights gained for future social work practice.

Graduate Attributes

- Communication
- Problem Solving
- Critical Thinking
- Information Literacy
- Team Work
- Cross Cultural Competence
- Ethical practice

Academic Integrity Statement

As a CQUniversity student you are expected to act honestly in all aspects of your academic work.

Any assessable work undertaken or submitted for review or assessment must be your own work. Assessable work is any type of work you do to meet the assessment requirements in the unit, including draft work submitted for review and feedback and final work to be assessed.

When you use the ideas, words or data of others in your assessment, you must thoroughly and clearly acknowledge the source of this information by using the correct referencing style for your unit. Using others' work without proper acknowledgement may be considered a form of intellectual dishonesty.

Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in your university study ensures the CQUniversity qualification you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

As a student, you are responsible for reading and following CQUniversity's policies, including the [Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure](#). This policy sets out CQUniversity's expectations of you to act with integrity, examples of academic integrity breaches to avoid, the processes used to address alleged breaches of academic integrity, and potential penalties.

What is a breach of academic integrity?

A breach of academic integrity includes but is not limited to plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion, cheating, contract cheating, and academic misconduct. The Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure defines what these terms mean and gives examples.

Why is academic integrity important?

A breach of academic integrity may result in one or more penalties, including suspension or even expulsion from the University. It can also have negative implications for student visas and future enrolment at CQUniversity or elsewhere. Students who engage in contract cheating also risk being blackmailed by contract cheating services.

Where can I get assistance?

For academic advice and guidance, the [Academic Learning Centre \(ALC\)](#) can support you in becoming confident in completing assessments with integrity and of high standard.

What can you do to act with integrity?



Be Honest

If your assessment task is done by someone else, it would be dishonest of you to claim it as your own



Seek Help

If you are not sure about how to cite or reference in essays, reports etc, then seek help from your lecturer, the library or the Academic Learning Centre (ALC)



Produce Original Work

Originality comes from your ability to read widely, think critically, and apply your gained knowledge to address a question or problem